arXiv:0808.1477v3 [math.RA] 15 Jan 2010

Looking for Gröbner Basis Theory for (Almost) Skew 2-Nomial Algebras [*]

Huishi Li
Department of Applied Mathematics
College of Information Science and Technology
Hainan University
Haikou 570228, China

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce (almost) skew 2-nomial algebras and look for a one-sided or two-sided Gröbner basis theory for such algebras at a modest level. That is, we establish the existence of a skew multiplicative K-basis for every skew 2-nomial algebra, and we explore the existence of a (left, right, or two-sided) monomial ordering for an (almost) skew 2-nomial algebra. As distinct from commonly recognized algebras holding a Gröbner basis theory (such as algebras of the solvable type [K-RW] and some of their homomorphic images), a subclass of skew 2-nomial algebras that have a left Gröbner basis theory but may not necessarily have a two-sided Gröbner basis theory, respectively a subclass of skew 2-nomial algebras that have a right Gröbner basis theory but may not necessarily have a two-sided Gröbner basis theory, are determined such that numerous quantum binomial algebras (which provide binomial solutions to the Yang-baxter equation [Laf], [G-I2]) are involved.

Let K be a field, and let R be a finitely generated free K-algebra, or a path algebra defined by a finite directed graph over K. Then it is well-known that R holds an effective Gröbner basis theory ([Mor], [FFG]) which generalizes successfully the celebrated algorithmic Gröbner basis theory for commutative polynomial algebras [Bu]. Also from the literature (e.g. [AL], [Ap], [HT], [K-RW], [Li1], [BGV], [Lev]) we know that many important quotient algebras of R, such as exterior algebras, Clifford algebras, solvable polynomial algebras and some of their homomorphic images hold an effective Gröbner basis theory. In general for an arbitrary (two-sided) ideal I of R, it seems hardly to know the existence of a Gröbner basis theory for the quotient algebra $A = R/I$. As we learnt from loc. cit., the first step to have a Gröbner basis

---

[*] Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10571038, 10971044).

e-mail: huishipp@yahoo.com

theory for A is to have a "nice" K-basis for A, for instance, a *multiplicative K-basis* $\mathcal{B}$ in the sense that $u, v \in \mathcal{B}$ implies $uv = 0$ or $uv \in \mathcal{B}$. In [Gr2] the following result was obtained for algebras defined by a 2-nomial ideal.

Theorem ([Gr2], Theorem 2.3) Suppose that R is a K-algebra with multiplicative K-basis $\mathcal{C}$. Let I be an ideal in R and π: $R \to R/I$ be the canonical surjection. Let $\pi(\mathcal{C})^* = \pi(\mathcal{C}) - \{0\}$. Then $\pi(\mathcal{C})^*$ is a multiplicative K-basis for R/I if and only if I is a 2-nomial ideal (i.e., I is generated by elements of the form $u - v$ and w where $u, v, w \in \mathcal{C}$).

Also as shown in [Gr1, 2], if an algebra A has a multiplicative K-basis $\mathcal{B}$ and if there exists a (two-sided) monomial ordering $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}$, then a Gröbner basis theory may be developed for A and such a computational ideal theory can be further applied to develop a computational module theory (representation theory) of A. In [Li2], the Gröbner basis theory for algebras with a multiplicative K-basis [Gr1, 2] was extended to a Gröbner basis theory for algebras with a *skew multiplicative K-basis* $\mathcal{B}$ (i.e., $u, v \in \mathcal{B}$ implies $uv = 0$ or $uv = \lambda w$ for some nonzero $\lambda \in K$ and $w \in \mathcal{B}$) and was used to study the structure theory of quotient algebras of a Γ-graded K-algebra via their Γ-leading and $\mathcal{B}$-leading homogeneous algebras.

Inspired by the work of [Gr1, 2] and [Li2], in this paper we look for a (left, right, or two-sided) Gröbner basis theory for skew 2-nomial algebras and almost skew 2-nomial algebras (see the definitions in Section 3 and Section 4) at a modest level. More precisely, in Section 1 we give a quick introduction to the Gröbner basis theory of K-algebras with a skew multiplicative K-basis (where K is a field). In Section 2 we consider the class of K-algebras defined by monomials and binomials of certain special types, and we demonstrate respectively the existence of a left Gröbner basis theory for a subclass of such algebras that may not necessarily have a right Gröbner basis theory, the existence of a right Gröbner basis theory for a subclass of such algebras that may not necessarily have a left Gröbner basis theory, and the existence of a two-sided Gröbner basis theory for a subclass of such algebras. The first two subclasses of algebras we discussed include numerous quantum binomial algebras which provide binomial solutions to the Yang-Baxter equation ([Laf], [G-I2]), and the third subclass of algebras generalize the well-known multiparameter quantized coordinate ring of affine n-space $K_Q[z_1, ..., z_n]$ (a precise definition is quoted from [GL] in the beginning of Section 1) which is a typical solvable polynomial algebra in the sense of [K-RW]. Moreover, the practical examples given in this section also make the basis for us to discuss the possibility of lifting a (left, right, or two-sided) Gröbner basis theory. Motivated by the results of Section 2 (mainly Theorem 2.2 – Corollary 2.5), in Section 3 we introduce general skew 2-nomial algebras, and, as the first step of having a (left, right, or two-sided) Gröbner basis theory, the existence of a skew multiplicative K-basis for every skew 2-nomial algebra is established. In Section 4 we introduce the class of almost skew 2-nomial algebras so that the working principle of [Li2], combined with the results of Sections 2 – 3, may be applied effectively to the algebras with the associated graded algebra which is a skew

2-nomial algebra (as shown by Example (8) of Section 2). The final Section 5 is for summarizing several open problems related to previous sections.

Throughout this paper, K always denotes a field. By a K-algebra we always mean a *finitely generated* associative K-algebra with identity element 1, that is, the algebra of the form $\Lambda = K[a_1, ..., a_n]$ with the set of generators $\{a_1, ..., a_n\}$. For a given K-algebra Λ, if a "left ideal" or "right ideal" of Λ is not specified in the text, ideals are all "two-sided ideals". Moreover, we write K^* for $K - \{0\}$, and write $\langle S\rangle$ for the two-sided ideal generated by a subset S in the algebra considered. Also we use $\mathbb{N}$ to denote the set of nonnegative integers.

1. Grobner Bases with Respect to Skew Multiplicative K-basis

Based on [Gr2] and [Li2], we begin with introducing the Gröbner basis theory of K-algebras with a skew multiplicative K-basis (see the definition below).

Let $\Lambda = K[a_1, ..., a_n]$ be a K-algebra generated by $\{a_1, ..., a_n\}$ and let $\mathcal{B}$ be a K-basis of Λ consisting of elements of the form $a_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} a_{i_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots a_{i_n}^{\alpha_n}$ where $a_{i_j} \in \{a_1, ..., a_n\}$ and $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{N}$ (in principle such a K-basis always exists). Denoting elements of $\mathcal{B}$ by using lowercase letters u, v, w, ..., if $\mathcal{B}$ has the property that

$$u,\ v \in \mathcal{B} \text{ implies } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u \cdot v = \lambda w \text{ for some } \lambda \in K^*,\ w \in \mathcal{B}, \\ \text{or } u \cdot v = 0, \end{array} \right.$$

then we call $\mathcal{B}$ a *skew multiplicative K-basis* of Λ.

Obviously, a skew multiplicative K-basis generalizes the notion of a *multiplicative K-basis* used in representation theory and classical Gröbner basis theory of associative algebras (i.e., a K-basis $\mathcal{B}$ with the property that u, $v \in \mathcal{B}$ implies $uv = 0$ or $uv \in \mathcal{B}$). Typical algebras with a skew multiplicative K-basis are ordered semigroup algebras, free algebras, commutative polynomial algebras, path algebras defined by finite directed graphs, exterior algebras, and the well-known skew polynomial algebra $K_Q[z_1, ..., z_n]$, or more precisely, the multiparameter quantized coordinate ring of affine n-space (e.g. see [GL]) defined subject to the relations $z_j z_i = q_{ji} z_i z_j$, $1 \le i, j \le n$, where $Q = (q_{ij})$ is a multiplicatively antisymmetric $n \times n$ matrix (i.e., $q_{ii} = 1$ and $q_{ij} = q_{ji}^{-1} \in K^*$ for $1 \le i, j \le n$). Section 2 and Section 3 will provide a large class of algebras with a skew multiplicative K-basis.

From now on we let Λ be a K-algebra with a skew multiplicative K-basis $\mathcal{B}$, as defined above.

Let $\prec$ be a total ordering on $\mathcal{B}$. Adopting the commonly used terminology in computational algebra, we call an element $u \in \mathcal{B}$ a *monomial*; and for each $f \in \Lambda$, say

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_i u_i, \quad \lambda_i \in K^*,\ u_i \in \mathcal{B},\ u_1 \prec u_2 \prec \cdots \prec u_s,$$

the *leading monomial* of f, denoted $\mathbf{LM}(f)$, is defined as $\mathbf{LM}(f) = u_s$; the *leading coefficient* of f, denoted $\mathbf{LC}(f)$, is defined as $\mathbf{LC}(f) = \lambda_s$; and the *leading term* of f, denoted $\mathbf{LT}(f)$, is defined as $\mathbf{LT}(f) = \mathbf{LC}(f)\mathbf{LM}(f) = \lambda_s u_s$. If $S \subset \Lambda$, then we write $\mathbf{LM}(S) = \{\mathbf{LM}(f) \mid f \in S\}$ for the set of leading monomials of S. If furthermore $\prec$ is a well-ordering, i.e., a total ordering satisfying descending chain condition on $\mathcal{B}$ (in principle, though, such an ordering exists by the well-known well-ordering theorem from axiomatic set theory), then a (left) admissible system for Λ may be introduced as follows.

1.1. Definition (i) An ordering $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}$ is said to be a *left monomial ordering* if $\prec$ is a well-ordering such that the following conditions are satisfied:

(**LMO1**) If $w, u, v \in \mathcal{B}$ are such that $w \prec u$ and $\mathbf{LM}(vw),\ \mathbf{LM}(vu) \not\in K^* \cup \{0\}$, then $\mathbf{LM}(vw) \prec \mathbf{LM}(vu)$.

(**LMO2**) For $w, u \in \mathcal{B}$, if $u = \mathbf{LM}(vw)$ for some $v \in \mathcal{B}$ with $v \neq 1$ (in the case $1 \in \mathcal{B}$), then $w \prec u$.

In this case we call the pair $(\mathcal{B}, \prec)$ a *left admissible system* of Λ.

(ii) An ordering $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}$ is said to be a *two-sided monomial ordering* if $\prec$ is a well-ordering such that the following conditions are satisfied:

(**MO1**) If $w, u, v, s \in \mathcal{B}$ are such that $w \prec u$ and $\mathbf{LM}(vws),\ \mathbf{LM}(vus) \not\in K^* \cup \{0\}$, then $\mathbf{LM}(vws) \prec \mathbf{LM}(vus)$.

(**MO2**) For $w, u \in \mathcal{B}$, if $u = \mathbf{LM}(vws)$ for some $v, s \in \mathcal{B}$ with $v \neq 1$ or $s \neq 1$ (in the case $1 \in \mathcal{B}$), then $w \prec u$.

In this case we call the pair $(\mathcal{B}, \prec)$ a *two-sided admissible system* of Λ.

Remark (i) Note that if Λ is the path algebra defined by a finite directed graph with finitely $n \geq 2$ edges $e_1, ..., e_n$, then the multiplicative K-basis $\mathcal{B}$ of Λ does not contain the identity element $1 = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$. That is why we specified the case $1 \in \mathcal{B}$.

(ii) In the case that $1 \in \mathcal{B}$, both (LMO2) and (MO2) turn out that $1 \prec u$ for all $u \in \mathcal{B}$ with $u \neq 1$. This shows that our definition of a (left, two-sided) monomial ordering is consistent with that used in the classical commutative and noncommutative cases.

(iii) If $1 \in \mathcal{B}$ and $u, v \in \mathcal{B} - \{1\}$ satisfy $uv = \lambda \in K^*$, then $\mathbf{LM}(uv) = 1$. Noticing (ii) above, we must ask $\mathbf{LM}(uv) \not\in K^* \cup \{0\}$ in order to make (LMO1) and (MO1) valid, .

If the algebra $\Lambda = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\Lambda_\gamma$ is a Γ-graded K-algebra, where Γ is an ordered semigroup by a total ordering $<$, such that the skew multiplicative K-basis of Λ *consists of* Γ*-homogeneous elements*, and if $\prec$ is a well-ordering on $\mathcal{B}$, then we may define the ordering $\prec_{gr}$ for $u,\ v \in \mathcal{B}$ subject to the rule:

$$u \prec_{gr} v \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{l} d(u) < d(v) \\ \text{or } d(u) = d(v) \text{ and } u \prec v, \end{array}$$

where $d(\ )$ is referred to as the degree function on homogeneous elements of Λ. If $\prec_{gr}$ is a (left or two-sided) monomial ordering on $\mathcal{B}$ in the sense of Definition 1.1, then we call $\prec_{gr}$ a (left or two-sided) Γ-*graded monomial ordering* on $\mathcal{B}$ (or on Λ). A typical $\mathbb{N}$-graded (left or two-sided) monomial ordering is the $\mathbb{N}$-graded (reverse) lexicographic ordering on a free K-algebra $K\langle X_1, ..., X_n\rangle$, a commutative polynomial K-algebra $K[x_1, ..., x_n]$, and a path algebra KQ defined by a finite directed graph Q over K, where the $\mathbb{N}$-gradation may be any *weight* $\mathbb{N}$-*gradation* obtained by assigning to each generator a *positive degree* n_i, for, in each case considered the "monomials" in the standard K-basis $\mathcal{B}$ are all $\mathbb{N}$-homogeneous elements.

Convention Here let us make the convention once and for all that a lexicographic ordering always means a "left lexicographic ordering" whenever such a ordering is used.

Since $\mathcal{B}$ is a skew multiplicative K-basis of Λ, the division of monomials is well-defined, namely, for $u, v \in \mathcal{B}$, we say that u *divides* v, denoted $u|v$, provided there are $w, s \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\lambda \in K^*$ such that $v = \lambda wus$; similarly, for $u, v \in \mathcal{B}$, we say that u *divides* v *from left-hand side*, also denoted $u|v$, provided there is some $w \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\lambda \in K^*$ such that $v = \lambda wu$. If furthermore Λ has a (left) admissible system $(\mathcal{B}, \prec)$, then the division of monomials may be extended to the division of two elements in Λ as follows. Let $f, g \in \Lambda$. If $\mathbf{LM}(g)|\mathbf{LM}(f)$, then there are $w, s \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\lambda \in K^*$ such that $\mathbf{LM}(f) = \lambda w\mathbf{LM}(g)s$. Writing $g = \mathbf{LC}(g)\mathbf{LM}(g) + g'$ with $\mathbf{LM}(g') \prec \mathbf{LM}(g)$ and $\mathbf{LC}(wgs - wg's) = \mu$, we have

$$f = \frac{\mathbf{LC}(f)}{\mu\mathbf{LC}(g)}wgs + f' \text{ satisfying } \mathbf{LM}(f) = \mathbf{LM}(w\mathbf{LM}(g)s),\ \mathbf{LM}(f') \prec \mathbf{LM}(f).$$

If $\mathbf{LM}(g)|\mathbf{LM}(f')$, repeat the same procedure for f'. While in the case that $\mathbf{LM}(g) \not| \mathbf{LM}(f)$, turn to consider the divisibility of $\mathbf{LM}(f_1)$ by $\mathbf{LM}(g)$, where $f_1 = f - \mathbf{LT}(f)$. Since $\prec$ is a well-ordering, the division process above stops after a finite number of steps and eventually we obtain

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f & = & \sum_i \lambda_i w_i g s_i + r_f \text{ with } \lambda_i \in K^*,\ w_i, s_i \in \mathcal{B},\ r_f \in \Lambda, \\ & & \text{satisfying } \mathbf{LM}(w_i g s_i) \preceq \mathbf{LM}(f),\ \mathbf{LM}(r_f) \preceq \mathbf{LM}(f), \\ & & \text{and if } r_f = \sum_j \mu_j w_j \text{ then none of the } w_j'\text{s can be divided by } \mathbf{LM}(g). \end{array}$$

The division procedure of f by g from left-hand side can be performed in a similar way, and the output result is

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f & = & \sum_i \lambda_i w_i g + r_f \text{ with } \lambda_i \in K^*,\ w_i \in \mathcal{B},\ r_f \in \Lambda, \\ & & \text{satisfying } \mathbf{LM}(w_i g) \preceq \mathbf{LM}(f),\ \mathbf{LM}(r_f) \preceq \mathbf{LM}(f), \\ & & \text{and if } r_f = \sum_j \mu_j w_j \text{ then none of the } w_j'\text{s can be divided by } \mathbf{LM}(g). \end{array}$$

Actually the division procedure above gives rise to an effective division algorithm manipulating the reduction of elements by a subset of Λ, and thereby leads to the notion of a (left) Gröbner basis.

1.2. Definition (i) Let $(\mathcal{B},\prec)$ be an admissible system of the algebra Λ, and I an ideal of Λ. A subset $\mathcal{G}\subset I$ is said to be a *Gröbner basis* of I (or a *two-sided Gröbner basis* of I in case the phrase "two-sided" is need to be emphasized) if for each nonzero $f\in I$, there is some $g\in\mathcal{G}$ such that $\mathbf{LM}(g)|\mathbf{LM}(f)$, or equivalently, if each nonzero $f\in I$ has a *Gröbner presentation* by elements of $\mathcal{G}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \sum_{i,j}\lambda_{ij}w_{ij}g_jv_{ij} \text{ with } \lambda_{ij}\in K^*,\ w_{ij},v_{ij}\in\mathcal{B},\ g_j\in\mathcal{G},\ \text{satisfying}\\ &\quad \mathbf{LM}(w_{ij}g_jv_{ij})\preceq\mathbf{LM}(f),\ \text{and } \mathbf{LM}(w_{ij^*}\mathbf{LM}(g_{j^*})v_{ij^*})=\mathbf{LM}(f) \text{ for some } j^*.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $(\mathcal{B},\prec)$ be a left admissible system of the algebra Λ, and L a left ideal of Λ. A subset $\mathcal{G}\subset L$ is said to be a *left Gröbner basis* of L if for each nonzero $f\in L$, there is some $g\in\mathcal{G}$ such that $\mathbf{LM}(g)|\mathbf{LM}(f)$ from left-hand side, or equivalently, if each nonzero $f\in L$ has a *left Gröbner presentation* by elements of $\mathcal{G}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \sum_{i,j}\lambda_{ij}w_{ij}g_j \text{ with } \lambda_{ij}\in K^*,\ w_{ij}\in\mathcal{B},\ g_j\in\mathcal{G},\ \text{satisfying}\\ &\quad \mathbf{LM}(w_{ij}g_j)\preceq\mathbf{LM}(f),\ \text{and } \mathbf{LM}(w_{ij^*}\mathbf{LM}(g_{j^*}))=\mathbf{LM}(f) \text{ for some } j^*.\end{aligned}$$

Let $(\mathcal{B},\prec)$ be a (left) admissible system of the algebra Λ. A subset $\Omega\subset\mathcal{B}$ is said to be *reduced* if $u,v\in\Omega$ and $u\neq v$ implies $u\not| v$. If $\mathcal{G}$ is a (left) Gröbner basis of a (left) ideal J in Λ such that $\mathbf{LM}(\mathcal{G})$ is reduced, then we say that $\mathcal{G}$ is *LM-reduced*. It is easy to see that a (left) Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ of a (left) ideal J is LM-reduced if and only if $\mathcal{G}$ is a *minimal* (left) Gröbner basis in the sense that any proper subset of $\mathcal{G}$ cannot be a (left) Gröbner basis for J.

Let $(\mathcal{B},\prec)$ be a (left) admissible system of the algebra Λ. If J is a nonzero (left) ideal of Λ, then $J^*=J-\{0\}$ is trivially a (left) Gröbner basis of J. Although we do not know if in general there is an effective way to construct a "smaller" (left) Gröbner basis for J, the next proposition tells us that each (left) ideal of Λ has a *minimal* (left) Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$.

1.3. Proposition (i) Suppose that the algebra Λ has an admissible system $(\mathcal{B},\prec)$. Then each ideal I of Λ has a minimal Gröbner basis

$$\mathcal{G}=\{g\in I\mid \text{if } g'\in I \text{ and } g'\neq g,\ \text{then } \mathbf{LM}(g')\not|\,\mathbf{LM}(g)\}.$$

(ii) Suppose that the algebra Λ has a left admissible system $(\mathcal{B},\prec)$. Then each left ideal L of Λ has a minimal left Gröbner basis

$$\mathcal{G}=\{g\in L\mid \text{if } g'\in L \text{ and } g'\neq g,\ \text{then } \mathbf{LM}(g')\not|\,\mathbf{LM}(g)\}.$$

□

1.4. Definition Let Λ be a K-algebra with a skew multiplicative K-basis $\mathcal{B}$. If Λ has a left, respectively a two-sided admissible system $(\mathcal{B},\prec)$, then we say that Λ has a *left*, respectively a *two-sided Gröbner basis theory*.

If Λ has a left, respectively a two-sided Gröbner basis theory such that every left, respectively every two-sided ideal has a finite left, respectively a finite two-sided Gröbner basis, then we say that Λ has a *finite left*, respectively a *finite two-sided Gröbner basis theory.*

Suppose that the algebra Λ has a (left, or two-sided) Gröbner basis theory in the sense of Definition 1.4, and let J be a (left, or two-sided) *monomial ideal* of Λ, i.e., J is generated by a subset $\Omega \subset \mathcal{B}$. Since $\mathcal{B}$ is a skew multiplicative K-basis, J behaves exactly like a monomial ideal in a commutative polynomial algebra, that is, $u \in \mathcal{B} \cap J$ if and only if there exists some $v \in \Omega$ such that $v|u$; and for an element $f = \sum_i \lambda_i u_i \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda_i \in K^*$, $u_i \in \mathcal{B}$, $f \in J$ if and only if all $u_i \in J$, the Gröbner basis theory of Λ is featured as follows.

1.5. Proposition (i) Suppose that the algebra Λ has a left Gröbner basis theory, and let L be a left ideal of Λ. A subset $\mathcal{G} \subset L$ is a left Gröbner basis of L if and only if ${}_{\Lambda}\langle \mathbf{LM}(L)\rangle = {}_{\Lambda}\langle \mathbf{LM}(\mathcal{G})\rangle$ where both sides of the equality are left ideals generated by $\mathbf{LM}(L)$, respectively by $\mathbf{LM}(\mathcal{G})$; L has a finite left Gröbner basis basis if and only if ${}_{\Lambda}\langle \mathbf{LM}(L)\rangle$ has a finite monomial generating set.
(ii) Suppose that the algebra Λ has a two-sided Gröbner basis theory, and let I be an ideal of Λ. A subset $\mathcal{G} \subset I$ is a Gröbner basis of I if and only if $\langle \mathbf{LM}(I)\rangle = \langle \mathbf{LM}(\mathcal{G})\rangle$; I has a finite Gröbner basis if and only if $\langle \mathbf{LM}(I)\rangle$ has a finite monomial generating set.

□

Finally, if a K-algebra Λ has a (left) Gröbner basis theory in the sense of Definition 1.4, then the *fundamental decomposition theorem* holds, that is, if I is a (left) ideal of Λ, then the K-vector space Λ is decomposed into a direct sum of two subspaces:

$$\Lambda = I \oplus K\text{-span}N(I),$$

where $N(I) = \{u \mid u \in \mathcal{B},\ \mathbf{LM}(f) \not| \, u,\ f \in I\}$ is the set of normal monomials in $\mathcal{B}$ (modulo I). In the case that I is generated by a (left) Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$, $N(I)$ can be obtained by means of the (left) division algorithm by $\mathbf{LM}(\mathcal{G})$.

Remark Let Λ be a K-algebra with a skew multiplicative K-basis $\mathcal{B}$. To end this section, it is necessary to note the following:
(i) A *right Gröbner basis theory* for right ideals in Λ can be stated in a similar way.
(ii) From Definition 1.1 it is clear that if the K-basis $\mathcal{B}$ of Λ contains the identity element 1, then any two-sided monomial ordering on $\mathcal{B}$ is also a one-sided (i.e. left or right) monomial ordering, and thereby a two-sided Gröbner basis theory for Λ is certainly a one-sided Gröbner basis theory for Λ. But conversely, in the next section we will see the examples showing that a one-sided monomial ordering is not necessarily a two-sided monomial ordering (note that such a proper example has been missing on page 35 of [Li1]).

2. Some Motivating Results

Let $R = K[a_1, ..., a_n]$ be a K-algebra generated by $\{a_1, ..., a_n\}$. Assume that R has a two-sided Gröbner basis theory with respect to a two-sided admissible system $(\mathcal{B}, \prec)$ in the sense of Definition 1.4, where $\mathcal{B}$ is a *skew multiplicative K-basis* of R and $\prec$ is a *two-sided monomial ordering* on $\mathcal{B}$. For instance, R is a commutative polynomial algebra, a free algebra, a path algebra, or the multiparameter quantized coordinate ring of affine n-space $K_Q[z_1, ..., z_n]$ with the parameter matrix Q as described in the beginning of Section 1. In this section, firstly we consider the quotient algebra R/I of R, where I is an ideal generated by monomials and binomials of certain special types, and we demonstrate respectively the existence of a left Gröbner basis theory for a subclass of such algebras that may not necessarily have a two-sided Gröbner basis theory, the existence of a right Gröbner basis theory for a subclass of such algebras that may not necessarily have a two-sided Gröbner basis theory, as well as the existence of a two-sided Gröbner basis theory for a subclass of such algebras; and then, in the case where $R = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} R_\gamma$ is a Γ-graded algebra by an ordered semigroup Γ which is ordered by a well-ordering, for an arbitrary ideal I of R, we apply the foregoing results to the Γ-leading homogeneous algebra $A^{\Gamma}_{\rm LH} = R/\langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle$ of A (see the definition given before Proposition 2.6); moreover, we show that if $A^{\Gamma}_{\rm LH}$ is a domain, then a (left, right, or two-sided) Gröbner basis theory of $A^{\Gamma}_{\rm LH}$ may be lifted to a (left, right, or two-sided) Gröbner basis theory for A. Here and in what follows, with respect to a skew multiplicative K-basis, a one-sided or two-sided Gröbner basis theory always means the one in the sense of Definition 1.4, otherwise it is the one indicated before Theorem 2.10.

Let I be an ideal of R, and $A = R/I$. Since R has a Gröbner basis theory, under the canonical algebra epimorphism π: $R \to A$, the set $N(I)$ of normal monomials in $\mathcal{B}$ (modulo I) projects to a K-basis of A, that is $\{\bar{u} = u + I \mid u \in N(I)\}$ (see Section 1). In what follows we use $\overline{N(I)}$ to denote this basis.

Our first result is to deal with monomial ideals, i.e., ideals generated by elements of $\mathcal{B}$.

2.1. Proposition Let R and $(\mathcal{B}, \prec)$ be as fixed above. Consider a subset $\Omega \subset \mathcal{B}$ (where $1 \notin \Omega$ if $1 \in \mathcal{B}$) and the ideal $I = \langle \Omega \rangle$ in R. The following statements hold.
(i) $\overline{N(I)}$ is a skew multiplicative K-basis for the quotient algebra $A = R/I$.
(ii) The two-sided monomial ordering $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}$ induces a two-sided monomial ordering on $\overline{N(I)}$, again denoted $\prec$, and hence the quotient $A = R/I$ has a two-sided Gröbner basis theory.

Proof (i) Since $\mathcal{B}$ is a skew multiplicative K-basis of R, it follows from the remark made preceding Proposition 1.6 that that $u \in \mathcal{B} \cap I$ if and only if there is some $v \in \Omega$ such that $v|u$, i.e., $u = \lambda wvs$ with $\lambda \in K^*$ and $w, s \in \mathcal{B}$. So, suppose $\overline{u_1}$, $\overline{u_2} \in \overline{N(I)}$ and $\overline{u_1u_2} \neq 0$, then $u_1u_2 = \mu v$ with $\mu \in K^*$ and $v \in N(I)$. Hence $\overline{u_1u_2} = \mu\bar{v}$. This shows that $\overline{N(I)}$ is a skew multiplicative K-basis for A.

(ii) Suppose $\bar{u}, \bar{v} \in \overline{N(I)}$ such that $\bar{u} \prec \bar{v}$. Then $u \prec v$ since we are using the induced ordering $\prec$ on $\overline{N(I)}$. If $\bar{w}, \bar{s} \in \overline{N(I)}$ such that $\mathbf{LM}(\bar{w}\bar{u}\bar{s}) \notin K^* \cup \{0\}$ and $\mathbf{LM}(\bar{w}\bar{v}\bar{s}) \notin K^* \cup \{0\}$, then $\bar{w}\bar{u}\bar{s} \notin K^* \cup \{0\}$, $\bar{w}\bar{v}\bar{s} \notin K^* \cup \{0\}$, and as argued in the proof of (i) above, there are $u', v' \in N(I)$ such that $wus = \lambda u'$ and $wvs = \mu v'$, where $\lambda, \mu \in K^*$. Hence, it follows from $\mathbf{LM}(wus) = u' \prec v' = \mathbf{LM}(wvs)$ that $\mathbf{LM}(\bar{w}\bar{u}\bar{s}) = \overline{u'} \prec \overline{v'} = \mathbf{LM}(\bar{w}\bar{v}\bar{s})$. This shows that (MO1) of Definition 1.1 holds. To prove that (MO2) of Definition 1.1 holds as well, suppose $\bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w}, \bar{s} \in \overline{N(I)}$ such that $\bar{u} = \mathbf{LM}(\bar{w}\bar{v}\bar{s})$ (where $\bar{w} \neq 1$ or $\bar{s} \neq 1$ if $1 \in \mathcal{B}$, and thereby $w \neq 1$ or $s \neq 1$ by the choice of Ω), and $wvs = \lambda v'$ with $\lambda \in K^*$ and $v' \in N(I)$. Thus, $v' = \mathbf{LM}(wvs)$ implies $v \prec v'$, and consequently, $\bar{v} \prec \overline{v'} = \mathbf{LM}(\bar{w}\bar{v}\bar{s}) = \bar{u}$, as desired. $\square$

Next we show that there is a left Gröbner basis theory for a class of algebras defined by monomials and binomials of certain special type.

2.2. Theorem Let R and $(\mathcal{B}, \prec)$ be as fixed above. Suppose that the skew multiplicative K-basis $\mathcal{B}$ contains every monomial of the form $a_{\ell_1}^{\alpha_1} a_{\ell_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots a_{\ell_n}^{\alpha_n}$ with respect to some permutation $a_{\ell_1}, a_{\ell_2}, ..., a_{\ell_n}$ of $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$, where $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\mathcal{G} = \Omega \cup G$ is a minimal Gröbner basis of the ideal $I = \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$, where $\Omega \subset \mathcal{B}$ and G consists of $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ elements

$$g_{ji} = a_{\ell_j} a_{\ell_i} - \lambda_{ji} a_{\ell_i} a_{\ell_p},\ 1 \leq i < j \leq n,\ i < p \leq n,\ \lambda_{ji} \in K^* \cup \{0\},$$

such that $\mathbf{LM}(g_{ji}) = a_{\ell_j} a_{\ell_i}$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, then the following statements hold for the algebra $A = R/I$.
(i) $N(I) \subseteq \{a_{\ell_1}^{\alpha_1} a_{\ell_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots a_{\ell_n}^{\alpha_n} \mid \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and $\overline{N(I)}$ is a skew multiplicative K-basis for A.
(ii) Let $\prec_{_I}$ denote the ordering on $\overline{N(I)}$, which is induced by the lexicographic ordering or the $\mathbb{N}$-graded lexicographic ordering on $\mathbb{N}^n$, such that

$$\overline{a_{\ell_n}} \prec_{_I} \overline{a_{\ell_{n-1}}} \prec_{_I} \cdots \prec_{_I} \overline{a_{\ell_2}} \prec_{_I} \overline{a_{\ell_1}}.$$

If $\overline{a_{\ell_1}}^{\alpha_1} \overline{a_{\ell_2}}^{\alpha_2} \cdots \overline{a_{\ell_n}}^{\alpha_n} \neq 0$ implies $a_{\ell_1}^{\alpha_1} a_{\ell_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots a_{\ell_n}^{\alpha_n} \in N(I)$, then $\prec_{_I}$ is a left monomial ordering on $\overline{N(I)}$, and hence A has a left Gröbner basis theory with respect to the left admissible system $(\overline{N(I)}, \prec_{_I})$.

Proof Note that for the convenience of statement, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $a_{\ell_1} = a_1$, $a_{\ell_2} = a_2$, ..., $a_{\ell_n} = a_n$.
(i) By the assumptions, $\mathcal{B}$ is a skew multiplicative K-basis of R, $a_1, ..., a_n \in \mathcal{B}$, and $\mathbf{LM}(g_{ji}) = a_j a_i$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. It follows from the division algorithm by $\mathcal{G}$ that $N(I) \subseteq \{a_1^{\alpha_1} a_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots a_n^{\alpha_n} \mid \alpha_j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. For $\bar{u}$, $\bar{v} \in \overline{N(I)}$, if $\bar{u}\bar{v} \neq 0$, then $uv \neq 0$ and $uv = \lambda w$ for some $\lambda \in K^*$ and $w \in \mathcal{B}$. If $w \in N(I)$, then $\bar{u}\bar{v} = \lambda \bar{w}$; otherwise, noticing that $\mathcal{G}$ consists of monomials and binomials, the division of the monomial w by $\mathcal{G}$ yields a unique Gröbner presentation (see Definition 1.2):

$$w = \sum_{i,j} \mu_{ij} s_{ij} g_{ji} t_{ij} + \eta u', \text{ where } \mu_{ij}, \eta \in K^*,\ s_{ij}, t_{ij} \in \mathcal{B},\ u' \in N(I),$$

and consequently $\bar{u}\bar{v} = \lambda\bar{w} = (\lambda\eta)\overline{u'}$. Therefore, $\overline{N(I)}$ is a skew multiplicative K-basis for the quotient algebra $A = R/I$.

(ii) Let $\prec_{_I}$ be one of the orderings on $\overline{N(I)}$ as mentioned in the theorem, that is, $\prec_{_I}$ is defined subject to the lexicographic ordering

$$\overline{a_n} \prec_{_I} \overline{a_{n-1}} \prec_{_I} \cdots \prec_{_I} \overline{a_2} \prec_{_I} \overline{a_1}$$

If $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, then we write $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$.

First note that if $\overline{a_k} \in \{\overline{a_1}, \overline{a_2}, ..., \overline{a_n}\}$ and if $\overline{a_k} \cdot \overline{a_i}^{\alpha_i} \notin K^* \cup \{0\}$, then

$$\text{(1)} \qquad \mathbf{LM}(\overline{a_k} \cdot \overline{a_i}^{\alpha_i}) = \begin{cases} \overline{a_i}^{\alpha_i+1}, & k = i \\ \overline{a_k} \cdot \overline{a_i}^{\alpha_i}, & k < i \\ \overline{a_i}^{\alpha_i} \cdot \overline{a_{p_{_k}}} \text{ with } i < p_{_k}, & k > i \end{cases}$$

Let $\bar{u} = \overline{a_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \overline{a_n}^{\alpha_n}$, $\bar{v} = \overline{a_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots \overline{a_n}^{\beta_n} \in \overline{N(I)}$ be such that $\bar{u} \prec_{_I} \bar{v}$. Then

$$\text{(2)} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{either } |\alpha| < |\beta| \\ \text{or } |\alpha| = |\beta| \text{ and } \alpha_1 = \beta_1,\ ...,\ \alpha_{i-1} = \beta_{i-1} \text{ but } \alpha_i < \beta_i \text{ for some } i. \end{array}$$

Now, if $\bar{s} = \overline{a_1}^{\gamma_1} \cdots \overline{a_n}^{\gamma_n} \in \overline{N(I)}$, and if $\bar{s} \cdot \bar{u}$, $\bar{s} \cdot \bar{v} \notin K^* \cup \{0\}$, then, by (i) we may write $\mathbf{LM}(\bar{s} \cdot \bar{u}) = \overline{a_1}^{\eta_1} \cdots \overline{a_n}^{\eta_n}$, $\mathbf{LM}(\bar{s} \cdot \bar{v}) = \overline{a_1}^{\rho_1} \cdots \overline{a_n}^{\rho_n}$. It follows from the foregoing formula (1) that $|\eta| = |\alpha| + |\gamma|$, $|\rho| = |\beta| + |\gamma|$. Thus, $|\alpha| < |\beta|$ implies $|\eta| < |\rho|$, and hence

$$\text{(3)} \qquad \mathbf{LM}(\bar{s} \cdot \bar{u}) \prec_{_I} \mathbf{LM}(\bar{s} \cdot \bar{v})$$

provided we are using the graded lexicographic ordering. In the case that $|\alpha| = |\beta|$, we have $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$, ..., $\alpha_{i-1} = \beta_{i-1}$ and $\alpha_i < \beta_i$ for some i by the indication (2) given above. Since $\bar{s} \cdot \bar{u} \neq 0$ and $\bar{s} \cdot \bar{v} \neq 0$, $\overline{a_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \overline{a_{i-1}}^{\alpha_{i-1}} \in \overline{N(I)}$ by the assumption of (ii). By (i), if we put $\mathbf{LM}(\bar{s} \cdot \overline{a_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \overline{a_{i-1}}^{\alpha_{i-1}}) = \overline{a_1}^{\tau_1} \cdots \overline{a_n}^{\tau_n}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{LM}(\bar{s} \cdot \bar{u}) &= \mathbf{LM}(\overline{a_1}^{\tau_1} \cdots \overline{a_n}^{\tau_n} \cdot \overline{a_i}^{\alpha_i} \cdots \overline{a_n}^{\alpha_n}), \\ \mathbf{LM}(\bar{s} \cdot \bar{v}) &= \mathbf{LM}(\overline{a_1}^{\tau_1} \cdots \overline{a_n}^{\tau_n} \cdot \overline{a_i}^{\beta_i} \cdots \overline{a_n}^{\beta_n}). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the previously derived formula (1) to $i + 1 \leq k \leq n$, we have $\overline{a_k}^{\tau_k} \cdot \overline{a_i}^{\alpha_i} = \overline{a_i}^{\alpha_i} \cdot \overline{a_{p_{_k}}}^{\tau_k}$, and $\overline{a_k}^{\tau_k} \cdot \overline{a_i}^{\beta_i} = \overline{a_i}^{\beta_i} \cdot \overline{a_{p_{_k}}}^{\tau_k}$ with $i < p_k$. This turns out that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{LM}(\bar{s} \cdot \bar{u}) &= \mathbf{LM}(\overline{a_1}^{\tau_1} \cdots \overline{a_i}^{\tau_i} \cdot \overline{a_i}^{\alpha_i} \cdot \overline{a_{p_{i+1}}}^{\tau_{i+1}} \cdots \overline{a_{p_n}}^{\tau_n} \cdot \overline{a_{i+1}}^{\alpha_{i+1}} \cdots \overline{a_n}^{\alpha_n}), \\ \mathbf{LM}(\bar{s} \cdot \bar{v}) &= \mathbf{LM}(\overline{a_1}^{\tau_1} \cdots \overline{a_i}^{\tau_i} \cdot \overline{a_i}^{\beta_i} \cdot \overline{a_{p_{i+1}}}^{\tau_{i+1}} \cdots \overline{a_{p_n}}^{\tau_n} \cdot \overline{a_{i+1}}^{\beta_{i+1}} \cdots \overline{a_n}^{\beta_n}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\text{(4)} \qquad \mathbf{LM}(\bar{s} \cdot \bar{u}) \prec_{_I} \mathbf{LM}(\bar{s} \cdot \bar{v})$$

This shows that (LMO1) of Definition 1.1(ii) holds.

Next, let $\bar{u}=\overline{a_1}^{\alpha_1}\cdots\overline{a_n}^{\alpha_n}$, $\bar{v}=\overline{a_1}^{\beta_1}\cdots\overline{a_n}^{\beta_n}$, $\bar{w}=\overline{a_1}^{\gamma_1}\cdots\overline{a_n}^{\gamma_n}\in\overline{N(I)}$ be such that $\bar{u}=\mathbf{LM}(\bar{v}\cdot\bar{w})$ (where $\bar{v}\neq 1$ if $1\in\mathcal{B}$). If $\bar{u}\preceq_{_I}\bar{w}$, then since (LMO1) holds, we would have $\mathbf{LM}(\bar{v}\cdot\bar{u})\preceq_{_I}\mathbf{LM}(\bar{v}\cdot\bar{w})=\bar{u}$. But then, from the argument given before the formula (3) above we would have $|\beta|+|\alpha|\le|\beta|+|\gamma|=|\alpha|$, that is clearly impossible, for, $\bar{v}\neq 0$ (also $\bar{v}\neq 1$ if $1\in\mathcal{B}$) implies $|\beta|\neq 0$. So we must have $\bar{w}\prec_{_I}\bar{u}$. It follows that (LMO2) of Definition 1.1(ii) holds as well. Summing up, we conclude that $\prec_{_I}$ is a left monomial ordering on $\overline{N(I)}$, and thereby A has a left Gröbner basis theory with respect to the left admissible system $(\overline{N(I)},\prec_{_I})$.
□

In a similar way, we may obtain a right Gröbner basis theory for a class of algebras defined by monomials and binomials of certain special type.

2.3. Theorem Under the assumptions on R and $\mathcal{B}$ as in Theorem 2.2, if $\mathcal{G}=\Omega\cup G$ is a minimal Gröbner basis of the ideal $I=\langle\mathcal{G}\rangle$, where $\Omega\subset\mathcal{B}$ and G consists of $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ elements

$$g_{ji}=a_{\ell_j}a_{\ell_i}-\lambda_{ji}a_{\ell_p}a_{\ell_j},\ 1\le i<j\le n,\ p<j,\ \lambda_{ji}\in K^*\cup\{0\},$$

such that $\mathbf{LM}(g_{ji})=a_{\ell_j}a_{\ell_i}$, $1\le i<j\le n$, then the following statements hold for the algebra $A=R/I$.
(i) $N(I)\subseteq\{a_{\ell_1}^{\alpha_1}a_{\ell_2}^{\alpha_2}\cdots a_{\ell_n}^{\alpha_n}\mid\alpha_1,...,\alpha_n\in\mathbb{N}\}$, and $\overline{N(I)}$ is a skew multiplicative K-basis for A.
(ii) Let $\prec_{_I}$ denote the ordering on $\overline{N(I)}$, which is induced by the $\mathbb{N}$-graded reverse lexicographic ordering on $\mathbb{N}^n$, such that

$$\overline{a_{\ell_n}}\prec_{_I}\overline{a_{\ell_{n-1}}}\prec_{_I}\cdots\prec_{_I}\overline{a_{\ell_2}}\prec_{_I}\overline{a_{\ell_1}}.$$

If $\overline{a_{\ell_1}}^{\alpha_1}\overline{a_{\ell_2}}^{\alpha_2}\cdots\overline{a_{\ell_n}}^{\alpha_n}\neq 0$ implies $a_{\ell_1}^{\alpha_1}a_{\ell_2}^{\alpha_2}\cdots a_{\ell_n}^{\alpha_n}\in N(I)$, then $\prec_{_I}$ is a right monomial ordering on $\overline{N(I)}$, and hence A has a right Gröbner basis theory with respect to the right admissible system $(\overline{N(I)},\prec_{_I})$.

□

Below we give examples to show that in general algebras of the type as described in Theorem 2.2 may not necessarily have a two-sided monomial ordering on $\overline{N(I)}$, and thereby each left monomial ordering $\prec_{_I}$ used in Theorem 2.2 is in general not a right monomial ordering on $\overline{N(I)}$; and that in general algebras of the type as described in Theorem 2.3 may not necessarily have a two-sided monomial ordering on $\overline{N(I)}$, and thereby the right monomial ordering $\prec_{_I}$ used in Theorem 2.3 is in general not a left monomial ordering on $\overline{N(I)}$.

Example (1) In the free K-algebra $K\langle X\rangle=K\langle X_1,X_2,X_3\rangle$ consider the subset $\mathcal{G}$ consisting of

$$\begin{aligned} g_{21}&=X_2X_1-\lambda X_1X_3\\ g_{31}&=X_3X_1-\mu X_1X_2\\ g_{32}&=X_3X_2-\gamma X_2X_3\end{aligned}\qquad\text{where }\lambda,\mu,\gamma\in K^*\cup\{0\}.$$

Then, with respect to the natural $\mathbb{N}$-graded lexicographic ordering subject to $X_1 \prec_{grlex} X_2 \prec_{grlex} X_3$, where each X_i is assigned to the degree 1, it is straightforward to verify that $\mathbf{LM}(g_{ji}) = X_jX_i$, $1 \le i < j \le 3$, $\mathcal{G}$ forms a Gröbner basis of the ideal $I = \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$ in each of the following cases:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\lambda,\mu,\gamma) = (0,\mu,\gamma) \text{ with arbitrarily chosen } \mu,\gamma;\\
&(\lambda,\mu,\gamma) = (\lambda,0,\gamma) \text{ with arbitrarily chosen } \lambda,\gamma;\\
&(\lambda,\mu,\gamma) = (\lambda,0,0) \text{ with arbitrarily chosen } \lambda;\\
&(\lambda,\mu,\gamma) = (0,\mu,0) \text{ with arbitrarily chosen } \mu;\\
&(\lambda,\mu,\gamma) = (\lambda,\mu,\gamma) \text{ with } \lambda,\mu,\gamma \in K^*,\ \gamma^2 = 1,
\end{aligned}$$

and $N(I) = \{X_1^{\alpha_1}X_2^{\alpha_2}X_3^{\alpha_3} \mid \alpha_j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is of the type required by Theorem 2.2, it follows that the algebra $A = K\langle X\rangle/I$ has a left Gröbner basis theory with respect to the left admissible system $(\overline{N(I)}, \prec_{_I})$, where $\prec_{_I}$ is induced by the lexicographic ordering or the $\mathbb{N}$-graded lexicographic ordering on $\mathbb{N}^n$, such that $\overline{X_3} \prec_{_I} \overline{X_2} \prec_{_I} \overline{X_1}$. But since the algebra A has $1, \overline{X_1}$, $\overline{X_2}$, $\overline{X_3} \in \overline{N(I)}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{X_2} \cdot \overline{X_1} &= \lambda \overline{X_1} \cdot \overline{X_3},\\
\overline{X_3} \cdot \overline{X_1} &= \mu \overline{X_1} \cdot \overline{X_2},
\end{aligned}$$

we see that if $\lambda \ne 0$, $\mu \ne 0$, then any total ordering $<$ such that $\overline{X_3} < \overline{X_2}$ or $\overline{X_2} < \overline{X_3}$ cannot be a two-sided monomial ordering on $\overline{N(I)}$. Therefore, $\prec_{_I}$ cannot be a right monomial ordering on $\overline{N(I)}$.

Example (2) In the free K-algebra $K\langle X\rangle = K\langle X_1, X_2, X_3\rangle$ consider the subset $\mathcal{G}$ consisting of

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{21} &= X_2X_1 - \lambda X_1X_2\\
g_{31} &= X_3X_1 - \mu X_2X_3 \qquad \text{where } \lambda,\mu,\gamma \in K^* \cup \{0\}.\\
g_{32} &= X_3X_2 - \gamma X_1X_3
\end{aligned}$$

Then, with respect to the natural $\mathbb{N}$-graded lexicographic ordering subject to $X_1 \prec_{grlex} X_2 \prec_{grlex} X_3$, where each X_i is assigned to the degree 1, it is straightforward to verify that $\mathbf{LM}(g_{ji}) = X_jX_i$, $1 \le i < j \le 3$, $\mathcal{G}$ forms a Gröbner basis of the ideal $I = \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$ in each of the following cases:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\lambda,\mu,\gamma) = (0,0,\gamma) \text{ with arbitrarily chosen } \gamma;\\
&(\lambda,\mu,\gamma) = (0,\mu,0) \text{ with arbitrarily chosen } \mu;\\
&(\lambda,\mu,\gamma) = (\lambda,0,\gamma) \text{ with arbitrarily chosen } \lambda,\gamma;\\
&(\lambda,\mu,\gamma) = (\lambda,\mu,0) \text{ with arbitrarily chosen } \lambda,\mu;\\
&(\lambda,\mu,\gamma) = (\lambda,\mu,\gamma) \text{ with } \lambda,\mu,\gamma \in K^*,\ \lambda^2 = 1,
\end{aligned}$$

and $N(I) = \{X_1^{\alpha_1}X_2^{\alpha_2}X_3^{\alpha_3} \mid \alpha_j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is of the type required by Theorem 2.3, it follows that the algebra $A = K\langle X\rangle/I$ has a right Gröbner basis theory with respect to the right admissible system $(\overline{N(I)}, \prec_{_I})$, where $\prec_{_I}$ is induced by the $\mathbb{N}$-graded reverse lexicographic ordering on $\mathbb{N}^n$, such that $\overline{X_3} \prec_{_I} \overline{X_2} \prec_{_I} \overline{X_1}$. But since the algebra A has $1, \overline{X_1}$, $\overline{X_2}$, $\overline{X_3} \in \overline{N(I)}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{X_3} \cdot \overline{X_1} &= \mu \overline{X_2} \cdot \overline{X_3},\\
\overline{X_3} \cdot \overline{X_2} &= \gamma \overline{X_1} \cdot \overline{X_3},
\end{aligned}$$

we see that if $\mu \neq 0$, $\gamma \neq 0$, then any total ordering $<$ such that $\overline{X_1} < \overline{X_2}$ or $\overline{X_2} < \overline{X_1}$ cannot be a two-sided monomial ordering on $\overline{N(I)}$. Therefore, $\prec_{_I}$ cannot be a left monomial ordering on $\overline{N(I)}$.

Also we give an example to show that if the ideal $I = \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$ has the Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ consisting of binomials but is neither the type as described in Theorem 2.2 nor the type as described in Theorem 2.3, then the algebra defined by $\mathcal{G}$ may not have a two-sided monomial ordering, and each of the (left or right) monomial orderings used in both theorems cannot be a left or right monomial ordering for such an algebra.

Example (3) In the free K-algebra $K\langle X \rangle = K\langle X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 \rangle$ consider the subset $\mathcal{G}$ consisting of

$$\begin{array}{ll} g_{21} = X_2X_1 - X_1X_2, & g_{41} = X_4X_1 - X_2X_3, \\ g_{31} = X_3X_1 - X_2X_4, & g_{42} = X_4X_2 - X_1X_3, \\ g_{32} = X_3X_2 - X_1X_4, & g_{43} = X_4X_3 - X_3X_4. \end{array}$$

Then, with respect to the natural $\mathbb{N}$-graded lexicographic ordering subject to $X_1 \prec_{grlex} X_2 \prec_{grlex} X_3 \prec_{grlex} X_4$, where each X_i is assigned to the degree 1, it is straightforward to verify that $\mathbf{LM}(g_{ji}) = X_jX_i$, $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$, $\mathcal{G}$ forms a Gröbner basis of the ideal $I = \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$, and $N(I) = \{X_1^{\alpha_1}X_2^{\alpha_2}X_3^{\alpha_3}X_4^{\alpha_4} \mid \alpha_j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Since the algebra $A = K\langle X \rangle / I$ has $1, \overline{X_1}, \overline{X_2}\ \overline{X_3}, \overline{X_4} \in \overline{N(I)}$ such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{X_3} \cdot \overline{X_1} = \overline{X_2} \cdot \overline{X_4} \\ \overline{X_3} \cdot \overline{X_2} = \overline{X_1} \cdot \overline{X_4} \end{array} \right. \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{X_4} \cdot \overline{X_1} = \overline{X_2} \cdot \overline{X_3} \\ \overline{X_4} \cdot \overline{X_2} = \overline{X_1} \cdot \overline{X_3} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{X_4} \cdot \overline{X_1} = \overline{X_2} \cdot \overline{X_3} \\ \overline{X_3} \cdot \overline{X_1} = \overline{X_2} \cdot \overline{X_4} \end{array} \right. \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \overline{X_3} \cdot \overline{X_2} = \overline{X_1} \cdot \overline{X_4} \\ \overline{X_4} \cdot \overline{X_2} = \overline{X_1} \cdot \overline{X_3} \end{array} \right.$$

it is clear that there is no two-sided monomial ordering on $\overline{N(I)}$, and that each of the (left or right) monomial orderings used in Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 cannot be a (left, right, or two-sided) monomial ordering on $\overline{N(I)}$.

In consideration of the examples given above, algebras defined by monomials and binomials of the types as described in Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3, that may have a two-sided Gröbner basis theory are necessarily the type similar to the multiparameter quantized coordinate ring of affine n-space $K_Q[z_1, ..., z_n]$ with the parameter matrix Q as described in the beginning of Section 1. This leads to the next result.

2.4. Theorem Under the assumptions on R and $\mathcal{B}$ as in Theorem 2.2, if $\mathcal{G} = \Omega \cup G$ is a minimal Gröbner basis of the ideal $I = \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$, where $\Omega \subset \mathcal{B}$ and G consists of $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ elements

$$g_{ji} = a_{\ell_j}a_{\ell_i} - \lambda_{ji}a_{\ell_i}a_{\ell_j},\ 1 \leq i < j \leq n,\ \lambda_{ji} \in K^* \cup \{0\},$$

such that $\mathbf{LM}(g_{ji}) = a_{\ell_j}a_{\ell_i}$, $1 \le i < j \le n$, then the following statements hold for the algebra $A = R/I$.

(i) $N(I) \subseteq \{a_{\ell_1}^{\alpha_1}a_{\ell_2}^{\alpha_2}\cdots a_{\ell_n}^{\alpha_n} \mid \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and $\overline{N(I)}$ is a skew multiplicative K-basis for A.

(ii) If $\overline{a_{\ell_1}}^{\alpha_1}\overline{a_{\ell_2}}^{\alpha_2}\cdots\overline{a_{\ell_n}}^{\alpha_n} \ne 0$ implies $a_{\ell_1}^{\alpha_1}a_{\ell_2}^{\alpha_2}\cdots a_{\ell_n}^{\alpha_n} \in N(I)$, then A has a two-sided Gröbner basis theory with respect to the two-sided admissible system $(\overline{N(I)}, \prec_{_I})$, where $\prec_{_I}$ is the two-sided monomial ordering on $\overline{N(I)}$ induced by one of the following orderings on $\mathbb{N}^n$: the lexicographic ordering, the $\mathbb{N}$-graded lexicographic ordering, and the $\mathbb{N}$-graded reverse lexicographic ordering, such that

$$\overline{a_{\ell_n}} \prec_{_I} \overline{a_{\ell_{n-1}}} \prec_{_I} \cdots \prec_{_I} \overline{a_{\ell_2}} \prec_{_I} \overline{a_{\ell_1}}.$$

Proof Note that in this case we have $g_{ji} = a_ja_i - \lambda_{ji}a_ia_j$, $1 \le i < j \le n$, $\lambda_{ji} \in K^* \cup \{0\}$. Let $\prec_{_I}$ be one of the orderings on $\overline{N(I)}$ as mentioned. If $\bar{u} = \overline{a_1}^{\alpha_1}\cdots\overline{a_n}^{\alpha_n}$, $\bar{v} = \overline{a_1}^{\beta_1}\cdots\overline{a_n}^{\beta_n}$, $\bar{w} = \overline{a_1}^{\gamma_1}\cdots\overline{a_n}^{\gamma_n}$, $\bar{s} = \overline{a_1}^{\eta_1}\cdots\overline{a_n}^{\eta_n} \in \overline{N(I)}$ satisfy $\bar{u} \prec_{_I} \bar{v}$, $\bar{w}\bar{u}\bar{s} \notin K^* \cup \{0\}$, and $\bar{w}\bar{v}\bar{s} \notin K^* \cup \{0\}$, then, the assumption that $\overline{a_1}^{\alpha_1}\cdots\overline{a_n}^{\alpha_n} \ne 0$ implies $a_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots a_n^{\alpha_n} \in N(I)$ and the feature of g_{ji} entail that

$$\mathbf{LM}(\bar{w}\bar{u}\bar{s}) = \overline{a_1}^{\gamma_1+\alpha_1+\eta_1}\cdots\overline{a_n}^{\gamma_n+\alpha_n+\eta_n} \prec_{_I} \overline{a_1}^{\gamma_1+\beta_1+\eta_1}\cdots\overline{a_n}^{\gamma_n+\beta_n+\eta_n} = \mathbf{LM}(\bar{w}\bar{v}\bar{s}).$$

This shows that (MO1) of Definition 1.1(i) holds. Similarly, (MO2) of Definition 1.1(i) holds as well. Hence $\prec_{_I}$ is a two-sided monomial ordering on $\overline{N(I)}$, and thereby A has a two-sided Gröbner basis theory with respect to the admissible system $(\overline{N(I)}, \prec_{_I})$. □

Focusing on quotient algebras of free K-algebras we have the following immediate corollary.

2.5. Corollary Let $R = K\langle X_1, ..., X_n\rangle$ be the free K-algebra of n generators, $\mathcal{B}$ the standard K-basis of R, and let $X_{\ell_1}, X_{\ell_2}, ..., X_{\ell_n}$ be a permutation of $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$.

(i) If, with respect to some two-sided monomial ordering $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{G} = \Omega \cup G$ is a minimal Gröbner basis of the ideal $I = \langle\mathcal{G}\rangle$, where $\Omega \subset \mathcal{B}$ and G consists of $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ elements

$$g_{ji} = X_{\ell_j}X_{\ell_i} - \lambda_{ji}X_{\ell_i}X_{\ell_p},\ 1 \le i < j \le n,\ i < p \le n,\ \lambda_{ji} \in K^* \cup \{0\},$$

such that $\mathbf{LM}(g_{ji}) = X_{\ell_j}X_{\ell_i}$, $1 \le i < j \le n$, then the conditions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied, and consequently the algebra $A = R/I$ has a left Gröbner basis theory with respect to the left admissible system $(\overline{N(I)}, \prec_{_I})$, where $\prec_{_I}$ is as described in Theorem 2.2.

(ii) If, with respect to some two-sided monomial ordering $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{G} = \Omega \cup G$ is a minimal Gröbner basis of the ideal $I = \langle\mathcal{G}\rangle$, where $\Omega \subset \mathcal{B}$ and G consists of $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ elements

$$g_{ji} = X_{\ell_j}X_{\ell_i} - \lambda_{ji}X_{\ell_p}X_{\ell_j},\ 1 \le i < j \le n,\ p < j,\ \lambda_{ji} \in K^* \cup \{0\},$$

such that $\mathbf{LM}(g_{ji}) = X_{\ell_j}X_{\ell_i}$, $1 \le i < j \le n$, then the conditions of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied, and consequently the algebra $A = R/I$ has a right Gröbner basis theory with respect to the right admissible system $(\overline{N(I)}, \prec_{_I})$, where $\prec_{_I}$ is as described in Theorem 2.3.

(iii) If, with respect to some two-sided monomial ordering $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{G} = \Omega \cup G$ is a minimal Gröbner basis of the ideal $I = \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$, where $\Omega \subset \mathcal{B}$ and G consists of $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ elements

$$g_{ji} = X_{\ell_j} X_{\ell_i} - \lambda_{ji} X_{\ell_i} X_{\ell_j},\ 1 \le i < j \le n,\ \lambda_{ji} \in K^* \cup \{0\},$$

such that $\mathbf{LM}(g_{ji}) = X_{\ell_j} X_{\ell_i}$, $1 \le i < j \le n$, then the conditions of Theorem 2.4 are satisfied, and consequently the algebra $A = R/I$ has a two-sided Gröbner basis theory with respect to the two-sided admissible system $(\overline{N(I)}, \prec_{_I})$, where $\prec_{_I}$ is as described in Theorem 2.4.

$\square$

The next example illustrates Theorem 2.4, or more precisely, Corollary 2.5(iii).

Example (4) Consider in the free K-algebra $K\langle X\rangle = K\langle X_1, ..., X_n\rangle$ the subset $\mathcal{G} = \Omega \cup G$ consisting of

$$\begin{aligned} &\Omega \subseteq \{ g_i = X_i^p \mid 1 \le i \le n \},\ \text{where } p \ge 2 \text{ is a fixed integer,} \\ &G = \{ g_{ji} = X_j X_i - \lambda_{ji} X_i X_j \mid 1 \le i < j \le n,\ \lambda_{ji} \in K^* \cup \{0\} \}, \end{aligned}$$

and let $\prec_{grlex}$ be the natural $\mathbb{N}$-graded lexicographic ordering subject to $X_1 \prec_{grlex} X_2 \prec_{grlex} \cdots \prec_{grlex} X_n$, where each X_i is assigned to the degree 1. Then

$$\mathbf{LM}(\mathcal{G}) = \{ X_s^p = g_s \mid g_s \in \Omega \} \cup \{ X_j X_i \mid 1 \le i < j \le n \},$$

and all possible nontrivial overlap elements of $\mathcal{G}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} &S_{i,i\ell} = g_i X_\ell - X_i^{p-1} g_{i\ell} = \lambda_{i\ell} X_i^{p-1} X_\ell X_i, && i > \ell, \\ &S_{ji,i} = g_{ji} X_i^{p-1} - X_j g_i = -\lambda_{ji} X_i X_j X_i^{p-1}, && j > i, \\ &S_{j\ell,\ell t} = g_{j\ell} X_t - X_j g_{\ell t} = \lambda_{\ell t} X_j X_t X_\ell - \lambda_{j\ell} X_\ell X_j X_t, && j > \ell > t. \end{aligned}$$

For arbitrarily chosen $\lambda_{ji} \in K^* \cup \{0\}$, it is straightforward to verify that the division of $S_{i,i\ell}$, $S_{ji,i}$, and $S_{j\ell,\ell t}$ by $\mathcal{G}$ yields respectively the Gröbner presentations (Definition 1.2):

$$\begin{aligned} &S_{i,i\ell} = \sum_{k=2}^{p} \lambda_{i\ell}^{k-1} X_i^{p-k} g_{i\ell} X_i^{k-1} + \lambda_{i\ell}^{p} X_\ell g_i, \\ &S_{ji,i} = -\sum_{k=2}^{p} \lambda_{ji}^{k-1} X_i^{k-1} g_{ji} X_i^{p-k} - \lambda_{ji}^{p} g_i X_j, \\ &S_{j\ell,\ell t} = \lambda_{\ell t} g_{jt} X_\ell - \lambda_{ji} X_\ell g_{jt} - \lambda_{j\ell} \lambda_{jt} g_{\ell t} X_j + \lambda_{jt} \lambda_{\ell t} X_t g_{j\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that all overlap elements of $\mathcal{G}$ are reduced to 0 by $\mathcal{G}$, and hence $\mathcal{G}$ forms a Gröbner basis of the ideal $I = \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$. Furthermore, it is clear that the requirement of Corollary 2.5(iii) is satisfied. So the algebra $A = K\langle X\rangle/I$ has a two-sided Gröbner basis theory with respect to the two-sided admissible systems $(\overline{N(I)}, \prec_{_I})$, where $\prec_{_I}$ is as described in Theorem 2.5(iii). Moreover, in the case where $\Omega = \{ g_i = X_i^p \mid 1 \le i \le n \}$, the algebra A is finite dimensional over K.

Note that if $\Omega = \{g_i = X_i^2 \mid 1 \le i \le n\}$ and all the $\lambda_{ji} \ne 0$, then the algebra A constructed above is nothing but the *quantum grassmannian* (or *quantum exterior*) algebra in the sense of ([Man], section 3). In this case, A is clearly 2^n-dimensional over K.

Remark Thanks to [G-I1], there are numerous (left, right) Noetherian algebras of the type described through Theorem 2.2 – Corollary 2.5, in particular, many *quantum binomial algebras* studied in [Laf] and [G-I2] are such Noetherian algebras, for instance, the algebras discussed in the foregoing Examples (2) – (3) with $\lambda, \mu, \gamma \ne 0$ and $\gamma^2 = 1$, respectively $\lambda^2 = 1$. By Proposition 1.5, if the algebra $A = R/I$ in Theorem 2.2 – Corollary 2.5 is respectively left, right, and two-sided Noetherian, then A has respectively a finite left, right, and two-sided Gröbner basis theory.

To go further, we let $R = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} R_\gamma$ be a Γ-graded K-algebra, where Γ is an ordered semigroup by a *well-ordering* $<$. For each $f \in R$, say $f = r_{\gamma_1} + r_{\gamma_2} + \cdots + r_{\gamma_s}$ with $r_{\gamma_i} \in R_{\gamma_i}$, if $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \cdots < \gamma_s$, then we say that f has *degree* γ_s, denoted $d(f) = \gamma_s$, and we call r_{γ_s} the Γ-*leading homogeneous* element of f, denoted $\mathbf{LH}(f) = r_{\gamma_s}$. Thus, for a subset $S \subset R$ we write $\mathbf{LH}(S) = \{\mathbf{LH}(f) \mid f \in S\}$ for the set of Γ-leading homogeneous elements of S. If I is an ideal of R and $A = R/I$, then the Γ-*leading homogeneous algebra* of A defined in [Li2] is the Γ-graded algebra $A^\Gamma_{\mathrm{LH}}(A) = R/\langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle$. Suppose R has a Gröbner basis theory. Our consideration below is to indicate that a given Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ of I may not immediately gives rise to a Gröbner basis theory for A (for instance if it is not the type considered before), but in case $\mathbf{LH}(\mathcal{G})$ consists of a subset Ω of monomials and $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ elements of the form g_{ji} as in Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.3, or Theorem 2.4, it does determine a left, right, or two-sided Gröbner basis theory for the algebra $A^\Gamma_{\mathrm{LH}}(A)$. The reason that we bring the object A^Γ_{LH} into play is sketched as follows (the interested reader is referred to [Li2] for details).

By ([Li2], Theorem 1.1), there is a Γ-graded algebra isomorphism $A^\Gamma_{\mathrm{LH}} \xrightarrow{\cong} G^\Gamma(A)$, where $G^\Gamma(A) = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} G^\Gamma(A)_\gamma$ is the associated Γ-graded algebra of A defined by the Γ-filtration $FA = \{F_\gamma A\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ of A induced by the Γ-grading filtration $FR = \{F_\gamma R = \oplus_{\gamma'\le\gamma} R_{\gamma'}\}_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ of R, that is, $F_\gamma A = (F_\gamma R + I)/I$, $G^\Gamma(A)_\gamma = F_\gamma A/F^*_\gamma A$ with $F^*_\gamma A = \cup_{\gamma'<\gamma} F_{\gamma'} A$; the effectiveness of studying A via A^Γ_{LH} is based on the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & A \\
A^\Gamma_{\mathrm{LH}} \arrow[ur] \arrow[r, "\cong"] & G^\Gamma(A) \arrow[u, "\text{lifting}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

and the following proposition.

2.6. Proposition ([Li2], Proposition 3.2) Let R and the notations be as fixed above. Suppose that R has a two-sided Gröbner basis theory with respect to an admissible system $(\mathcal{B}, \prec_{gr})$,

where $\mathcal{B}$ is a *skew multiplicative* K*-basis* of R consisting of Γ-*homogeneous elements* and $\prec_{gr}$ is a Γ-graded two-sided monomial ordering on $\mathcal{B}$. With notation as before, if I is an ideal of R, the following statements hold.
(i) Let $\langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle$ be the Γ-graded ideal of R generated by the set $\mathbf{LH}(I)$ of Γ-leading homogeneous elements of I. Then $\mathbf{LM}(I) = \mathbf{LM}(\langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle)$.
(ii) A subset $\mathcal{G} \subset I$ is a Gröbner basis of I with respect to $(\mathcal{B}, \prec_{gr})$ if and only if $\mathbf{LH}(\mathcal{G})$, the set of Γ-leading homogeneous elements of $\mathcal{G}$, is a Gröbner basis for the Γ-graded ideal $\langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle$ with respect to $(\mathcal{B}, \prec_{gr})$.

$\square$

Proposition 2.6(i) tells us that the set $N(I)$ of normal monomials in $\mathcal{B}$ (modulo I) coincides with the set $N(\langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle)$ of normal monomials in $\mathcal{B}$ (modulo $\langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle$), i.e., $N(I) = N(\langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle)$. Thus we may write $\widehat{N(I)} = \{\hat{u} = u + \langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle \mid u \in N(I)\}$ for the K-basis of $A^{\Gamma}_{\rm LH}$ determined by $N(\langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle)$. Note that $\widehat{N(I)}$ consists of Γ-homogeneous elements.

With notation fixed above, by Proposition 2.6(ii) and Theorem 2.2 – Theorem 2.4 we are ready to mention the next three results.

2.7. Theorem Let $R = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} R_\gamma$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \prec_{gr})$ be as in Proposition 2.6, and assume further that R is finitely generated by Γ-homogeneous elements $a_1, ..., a_n$ (i.e., $R = K[a_1, ..., a_n]$), and that $\mathcal{B}$ contains every monomial of the form $a_{\ell_1}^{\alpha_1} a_{\ell_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots a_{\ell_n}^{\alpha_n}$ with respect to some permutation $a_{\ell_1}, a_{\ell_2}, ..., a_{\ell_n}$ of $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$, where $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let I be an ideal of R, $A = R/I$, and $A^{\Gamma}_{\rm LH} = R/\langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle$. If $\mathcal{G}$ is a minimal Gröbner basis of I such that $\mathbf{LH}(\mathcal{G}) = \Omega \cup G$, where $\Omega \subset \mathcal{B}$ and G consists of $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ elements

$$\begin{array}{rcl} g_{ji} & = & a_{\ell_j} a_{\ell_i} - \lambda_{ji} a_{\ell_i} a_{\ell_p},\ 1 \le i < j \le n,\ i < p \le n,\ \lambda_{ji} \in K^* \cup \{0\}, \\ & & \text{satisfying } \mathbf{LM}(g_{ji}) = a_{\ell_j} a_{\ell_i},\ 1 \le i < j \le n, \end{array}$$

then the following statements hold.
(i) $N(I) \subseteq \{a_{\ell_1}^{\alpha_1} a_{\ell_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots a_{\ell_n}^{\alpha_n} \mid \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and $\widehat{N(I)}$ is a skew multiplicative K-basis for $A^{\Gamma}_{\rm LH}$.
(ii) Let $\prec_{_{\mathbf{LH}(I)}}$ denote the ordering on $\widehat{N(I)}$, which is induced by the lexicographic ordering or the $\mathbb{N}$-graded lexicographic ordering on $\mathbb{N}^n$, such that

$$\widehat{a_{\ell_n}} \prec_{_{\mathbf{LH}(I)}} \widehat{a_{\ell_{n-1}}} \prec_{_{\mathbf{LH}(I)}} \cdots \prec_{_{\mathbf{LH}(I)}} \widehat{a_{\ell_2}} \prec_{_{\mathbf{LH}(I)}} \widehat{a_{\ell_1}}.$$

If $\widehat{a_{\ell_1}}^{\alpha_1} \widehat{a_{\ell_2}}^{\alpha_2} \cdots \widehat{a_{\ell_n}}^{\alpha_n} \ne 0$ implies $a_{\ell_1}^{\alpha_1} a_{\ell_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots a_{\ell_n}^{\alpha_n} \in N(I)$, then $\prec_{_{\mathbf{LH}(I)}}$ is a left monomial ordering on $\widehat{N(I)}$, and hence $A^{\Gamma}_{\rm LH}$ has a left Gröbner basis theory with respect to the left admissible system $(\widehat{N(I)}, \prec_{_{\mathbf{LH}(I)}})$.

$\square$

2.8. Theorem Under the assumptions as in Theorem 2.7, let I be an ideal of R, $A = R/I$, and $A^{\Gamma}_{\rm LH} = R/\langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle$. If $\mathcal{G}$ is a minimal Gröbner basis of I such that $\mathbf{LH}(\mathcal{G}) = \Omega \cup G$, where

$\Omega\subset\mathcal{B}$ and G consists of $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ elements

$$\begin{array}{rcl} g_{ji} & = & a_{\ell_j}a_{\ell_i}-\lambda_{ji}a_{\ell_p}a_{\ell_j},\ 1\le i<j\le n,\ p<j,\ \lambda_{ji}\in K^*\cup\{0\},\\ & & \text{satisfying } \mathbf{LM}(g_{ji})=a_{\ell_j}a_{\ell_i},\ 1\le i<j\le n,\end{array}$$

then the following statements hold.
(i) $N(I)\subseteq\{a_{\ell_1}^{\alpha_1}a_{\ell_2}^{\alpha_2}\cdots a_{\ell_n}^{\alpha_n}\mid \alpha_1,...,\alpha_n\in\mathbb{N}\}$, and $\widehat{N(I)}$ is a skew multiplicative K-basis for A_{LH}^{Γ}.
(ii) Let $\prec_{\mathbf{LH}(I)}$ denote the ordering on $\widehat{N(I)}$, which is induced by the $\mathbb{N}$-graded reverse lexicographic ordering on $\mathbb{N}^n$, such that

$$\widehat{a_{\ell_n}}\prec_{\mathbf{LH}(I)}\widehat{a_{\ell_{n-1}}}\prec_{\mathbf{LH}(I)}\cdots\prec_{\mathbf{LH}(I)}\widehat{a_{\ell_2}}\prec_{\mathbf{LH}(I)}\widehat{a_{\ell_1}}.$$

If $\widehat{a_{\ell_1}}^{\alpha_1}\widehat{a_{\ell_2}}^{\alpha_2}\cdots\widehat{a_{\ell_n}}^{\alpha_n}\ne 0$ implies $a_{\ell_1}^{\alpha_1}a_{\ell_2}^{\alpha_2}\cdots a_{\ell_n}^{\alpha_n}\in N(I)$, then $\prec_{\mathbf{LH}(I)}$ is a right monomial ordering on $\widehat{N(I)}$, and hence A_{LH}^{Γ} has a right Gröbner basis theory with respect to the right admissible system $(\widehat{N(I)},\prec_{\mathbf{LH}(I)})$.

□

2.9. Theorem Under the assumptions as in Theorem 2.7, let I be an ideal of R, $A=R/I$, and $A_{\text{LH}}^{\Gamma}=R/\langle\mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle$. If $\mathcal{G}$ is a minimal Gröbner basis of I such that $\mathbf{LH}(\mathcal{G})=\Omega\cup G$, where $\Omega\subset\mathcal{B}$ and G consists of $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ elements

$$\begin{array}{rcl} g_{ji} & = & a_{\ell_j}a_{\ell_i}-\lambda_{ji}a_{\ell_i}a_{\ell_j},\ 1\le i<j\le n,\ \lambda_{ji}\in K^*\cup\{0\},\\ & & \text{satisfying } \mathbf{LM}(g_{ji})=a_{\ell_j}a_{\ell_i},\ 1\le i<j\le n,\end{array}$$

Then the following statements hold.
(i) $N(I)\subseteq\{a_{\ell_1}^{\alpha_1}a_{\ell_2}^{\alpha_2}\cdots a_{\ell_n}^{\alpha_n}\mid \alpha_1,...,\alpha_n\in\mathbb{N}\}$, and $\widehat{N(I)}$ is a skew multiplicative K-basis for A_{LH}^{Γ}
(ii) If $\widehat{a_{\ell_1}}^{\alpha_1}\widehat{a_{\ell_2}}^{\alpha_2}\cdots\widehat{a_{\ell_n}}^{\alpha_n}\ne 0$ implies $a_{\ell_1}^{\alpha_1}a_{\ell_2}^{\alpha_2}\cdots a_{\ell_n}^{\alpha_n}\in N(I)$, then A_{LH}^{Γ} has a two-sided Gröbner basis theory with respect to the admissible system $(\widehat{N(I)},\prec_{\mathbf{LH}(I)})$, where $\prec_{\mathbf{LH}(I)}$ is the two-sided monomial ordering on $\widehat{N(I)}$ induced by one of the following orderings on $\mathbb{N}^n$: the lexicographic ordering, the $\mathbb{N}$-graded lexicographic ordering, and the $\mathbb{N}$-graded reverse lexicographic ordering, such that

$$\widehat{a_{\ell_n}}\prec_{\mathbf{LH}(I)}\widehat{a_{\ell_{n-1}}}\prec_{\mathbf{LH}(I)}\cdots\prec_{\mathbf{LH}(I)}\widehat{a_{\ell_2}}\prec_{\mathbf{LH}(I)}\widehat{a_{\ell_1}}.$$

□

Examples given below not only illustrate Theorem 2.7 – 2.9, but also answers why the three theorems said nothing about the existence of a (left, right, or two-sided) Gröbner basis theory for the quotient algebra $A=R/I$.

Example (5) Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the subset of the free K-algebra $K\langle X\rangle=K\langle X_1,X_2,X_3\rangle$ consisting of

$$\begin{array}{ll} g_{21}=X_2X_1-\lambda X_1X_3+\alpha X_1, & \\ g_{31}=X_3X_1, & \text{where } \lambda,\mu,\alpha\in K^*\cup\{0\}.\\ g_{32}=X_3X_2-\mu X_2X_3+\alpha X_3, & \end{array}$$

Then, with respect to the natural $\mathbb{N}$-graded lexicographic ordering subject to $X_1 \prec_{grlex} X_2 \prec_{grlex} X_3$, where each X_i is assigned to the degree 1, it is straightforward to verify that $\mathbf{LM}(g_{ji}) = X_jX_i$, $1 \le i < j \le 3$, $\mathcal{G}$ forms a Gröbner basis of the ideal $I = \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$ and $N(I) = \{X_1^{\alpha_1}X_2^{\alpha_2}X_3^{\alpha_3} \mid \alpha_j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Consider the quotient algebra $A = K\langle X \rangle / I$. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is of the type required by Theorem 2.7 such that $\mathbf{LH}(\mathcal{G}) = \{X_2X_1 - \lambda X_1X_3,\ X_3X_1,\ X_3X_2 - \mu X_2X_3\}$, it follows that the algebra $A_{\mathrm{LH}}^{\mathbb{N}} = K\langle X \rangle / \langle \mathbf{LH}(\mathcal{G}) \rangle$ has a left Gröbner basis theory with respect to the left admissible system $(\widehat{N(I)}, \prec_{\mathbf{LH}(I)})$, where $\prec_{\mathbf{LH}(I)}$ is as described in Theorem 2.7.

If $\mu = 0$ and $\alpha \ne 0$, then note that the algebra A has $\overline{X_2}$, $\overline{X_2}^2$, $\overline{X_3} \in \overline{N(I)}$ such that $\overline{X_2} \ne \overline{X_2}^2$, $\overline{X_3} \cdot \overline{X_2} = -\alpha \overline{X_3}$, and $\overline{X_3} \cdot \overline{X_2}^2 = -\alpha \overline{X_3} \cdot \overline{X_2}$. Thus, with respect to any total ordering $\prec$ on $\overline{N(I)}$, we have

$$\mathbf{LM}(\overline{X_3} \cdot \overline{X_2}^2) = \overline{X_3} = \mathbf{LM}(\overline{X_3} \cdot \overline{X_2}).$$

This shows that $\prec$ cannot be a (left, right, or two-sided) monomial ordering on $\overline{N(I)}$, and therefore, the algebra A cannot have a (left, right, and two-sided) Gröbner basis whenever the K-basis $\overline{N(I)}$ is used.

Example (6) Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the subset of the free K-algebra $K\langle X \rangle = K\langle X_1, X_2, X_3 \rangle$ consisting of

$$\begin{aligned} g_{21} &= X_2X_1 - \lambda X_1X_2 + \alpha X_1, \\ g_{31} &= X_3X_1, \qquad\qquad \text{where } \lambda, \mu, \alpha \in K^* \cup \{0\}. \\ g_{32} &= X_3X_2 - \mu X_1X_3 + \alpha X_3, \end{aligned}$$

Then, with respect to the natural $\mathbb{N}$-graded lexicographic ordering subject to $X_1 \prec_{grlex} X_2 \prec_{grlex} X_3$, where each X_i is assigned to the degree 1, it is straightforward to verify that $\mathbf{LM}(g_{ji}) = X_jX_i$, $1 \le i < j \le 3$, $\mathcal{G}$ forms a Gröbner basis of the ideal $I = \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$ and $N(I) = \{X_1^{\alpha_1}X_2^{\alpha_2}X_3^{\alpha_3} \mid \alpha_j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Consider the quotient algebra $A = K\langle X \rangle / I$. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is of the type required by Theorem 2.8 such that $\mathbf{LH}(\mathcal{G}) = \{X_2X_1 - \lambda X_1X_2,\ X_3X_1,\ X_3X_2 - \mu X_1X_3\}$, it follows that the algebra $A_{\mathrm{LH}}^{\mathbb{N}} = K\langle X \rangle / \langle \mathbf{LH}(\mathcal{G}) \rangle$ has a right Gröbner basis theory with respect to the right admissible system $(\widehat{N(I)}, \prec_{\mathbf{LH}(I)})$, where $\prec_{\mathbf{LH}(I)}$ is as described in Theorem 2.8.

If $\lambda = 0$ and $\alpha \ne 0$, then note that the algebra A has $\overline{X_2}$, $\overline{X_2}^2$, $\overline{X_1} \in \overline{N(I)}$ such that $\overline{X_2} \ne \overline{X_2}^2$, $\overline{X_2} \cdot \overline{X_1} = -\alpha \overline{X_1}$, and $\overline{X_2}^2 \cdot \overline{X_1} = -\alpha \overline{X_2} \cdot \overline{X_1}$. Thus, with respect to any total ordering $\prec$ on $\overline{N(I)}$, we have

$$\mathbf{LM}(\overline{X_2}^2 \cdot \overline{X_1}) = \overline{X_1} = \mathbf{LM}(\overline{X_2} \cdot \overline{X_1}).$$

This shows that $\prec$ cannot be a (left, right, or two-sided) monomial ordering on $\overline{N(I)}$, and therefore, the algebra A cannot have a (left, right, or two-sided) Gröbner basis whenever the K-basis $\overline{N(I)}$ is used.

Example (7) Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the subset of the free K-algebra $K\langle X\rangle = K\langle X_1, X_2, X_3\rangle$ consisting of

$$\begin{aligned} g_{31} &= X_3X_1 - \lambda X_1X_3 + \gamma X_3, \\ g_{12} &= X_1X_2 - \lambda X_2X_1 + \gamma X_2, \\ g_{32} &= X_3X_2 - \omega X_2X_3 + f(X_1), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda, \gamma, \omega \in K^* \cup \{0\}$, and $f(X_1)$ is a polynomial in the variable X_1. If $d(f) \le 2$, we assign $d(X_1) = d(X_2) = d(X_3) = 1$; if $d(f) = n \ge 3$, we assign $d(X_1) = d(X_2) = 1$, $d(X_3) = n$. Then, using the $\mathbb{N}$-graded lexicographic ordering $X_2 \prec_{grlex} X_1 \prec_{grlex} X_3$ with respect to either $\mathbb{N}$-gradation assigned to $K\langle X\rangle$ above, it is straightforward to verify that $\mathbf{LM}(g_{ji}) = X_jX_i$, $1 \le i < j \le 3$, $\mathcal{G}$ forms a Gröbner basis of the ideal $I = \langle \mathcal{G}\rangle$ and $N(I) = \{X_2^{\alpha_2}X_1^{\alpha_1}X_3^{\alpha_3} \mid \alpha_j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. By Proposition 2.6(ii),

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{LH}(\mathcal{G}) = \{X_3X_1 - \lambda X_1X_3,\ X_1X_2 - \lambda X_2X_1,\ X_3X_2 - \omega X_2X_3\} \text{ if } d(f) \ge 3, \\ &\text{respectively} \\ &\mathbf{LH}(\mathcal{G}) = \{X_3X_1 - \lambda X_1X_3,\ X_1X_2 - \lambda X_2X_1,\ X_3X_2 - \omega X_2X_3 + aX_1^2\} \\ &\qquad\qquad \text{if } f(x_1) = aX_1^2 + bX_1 + c \text{ with } a, b, c \in K, \end{aligned}$$

is a Gröbner basis for the ideal $\langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle$ with respect to the respective $\mathbb{N}$-gradation assigned to $K\langle X\rangle$. The existence of a Gröbner basis theory for the algebras $A = K\langle X\rangle/I$ and $A_{\rm LH}^{\mathbb{N}} = K\langle X\rangle/\langle \mathbf{LH}(\mathcal{G})\rangle$ is discussed as follows.

(1) If $\lambda \ne 0$ and $\omega \ne 0$, then, in any case (i.e., $d(f) \le 2$ or $d(f) \ge 3$), with respect to $\overline{X_2} \prec_{grlex} \overline{X_1} \prec_{grlex} \overline{X_3}$ on $\overline{N(I)}$, respectively $\widehat{X_2} \prec_{grlex} \widehat{X_1} \prec_{grlex} \widehat{X_3}$ on $\widehat{N(I)}$, both A and $A_{\rm LH}^{\mathbb{N}}$ are solvable polynomial algebras in the sense of [K-RW]. Hence, every (left, right, or two-sided) ideal has a finite Gröbner basis.

(2) If $d(f) \ge 3$ and $\lambda = 0$ or $\omega = 0$, then by Theorem 2.9, $A_{\rm LH}^{\mathbb{N}}$ has a two-sided Gröbner basis theory with respect to the admissible system $(\widehat{N(I)}, \prec_{_{\mathbf{LH}(I)}})$, where $\prec_{_{\mathbf{LH}(I)}}$ is the monomial ordering as described in Theorem 2.9.

(3) In the case that $f(X_1) = aX_1^2 + bX_1 + c = 0$, if $a = 0$, then, for arbitrary λ and ω, $A_{\rm LH}^{\mathbb{N}}$ has a two-sided Gröbner basis theory with respect to the admissible system $(\widehat{N(I)}, \prec_{_{\mathbf{LH}(I)}})$, where $\prec_{_{\mathbf{LH}(I)}}$ is the monomial ordering as described in Theorem 2.9.

(4) In the case that $f(X_1) = aX_1^2 + bX_1 + c = 0$, if $a \ne 0$ and $\lambda = 0$ or $\omega = 0$, then we are not clear about the existence of a (left, right, or two-sided) gröbner basis for $A_{\rm LH}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

(5) If $\lambda = 0$ and $\gamma \ne 0$, then, in any case (i.e., $d(f) \le 2$ or $d(f) \ge 3$), note that $\overline{X_1}$, $\overline{X_1}^2$, $\overline{X_3} \in \overline{N(I)}$, $\overline{X_1} \ne \overline{X_1}^2$, $\overline{X_3} \cdot \overline{X_1} = -\gamma\overline{X_3}$, and $\overline{X_3} \cdot \overline{X_1}^2 = \gamma^2\overline{X_3}$. Thus, with respect to any total ordering $\prec$ on $\overline{N(I)}$, we have

$$\mathbf{LM}(\overline{X_3} \cdot \overline{X_1}) = \overline{X_3} = \mathbf{LM}(\overline{X_3} \cdot \overline{X_1}^2).$$

This shows that $\prec$ cannot be a (left, right, or two-sided) monomial ordering on $\overline{N(I)}$, and therefore, the quotient algebra $A = R/I$ cannot have a (left, right, or two-sided) Gröbner basis theory whenever the K-basis $\overline{N(I)}$ is used.

Remark Here let us point out that Example (7) discussed above applies to two interesting cases.
(1) In the case where $f(X_1) = aX_1^2 + bX_1$ such that $\lambda\gamma\omega b \neq 0$, the algebra $A = R/I$ is nothing but the *conformal* sl_2 *enveloping algebra* in the sense of [Le-B].
(2) Suppose that the field K is algebraically closed and $f(X_1) = X_1$. If λ, ω are roots of the equation $x^2 - \alpha x - \beta = 0$, then since $\lambda + \omega = \alpha$, $-\lambda\omega = \beta$, it follows from [KMP], [CM] and [CS] that all *down-up algebras* $A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ in the sense of [Ben], are recaptured by the quadric defining relations

$$\begin{aligned} g_{31} &= X_3X_1 - \lambda X_1X_3 + \gamma X_3, \\ g_{12} &= X_1X_2 - \lambda X_2X_1 + \gamma X_2, \\ g_{32} &= X_3X_2 - \omega X_2X_3 + X_1. \end{aligned}$$

In addition to the effectiveness of studying A via a Gröbner basis theory of A^{Γ}_{LH} (as may be seen from [Li2]), the natural question is now to ask if there would be any appropriate condition on A or on A^{Γ}_{LH} that enables us to obtain a Gröbner basis theory for A subject to a Gröbner basis theory of A^{Γ}_{LH}.

Enlightened by ([Li2], Theorem 1.1), the next theorem, which may be viewed as a recognizable version of ([Li1], CH.IV, Theorem 1.6), provides a solution to the question posed above. Before mentioning the next theorem, we need a little more preparation, for, the ideal I concerned by the theorem is not necessarily generated by monomials and binomials, and moreover, the K-basis $\overline{N(I)}$ of $A = R/I$, respectively the K-basis $\widehat{N(I)}$ of A^{Γ}_{LH}, is not necessarily a skew multiplicative K-basis. In this case, with any fixed K-basis $\mathscr{B}$ of A, the definition of a (left, right, or two-sided) monomial ordering $\prec$ on $\mathscr{B}$ is the same as that defined in Definition 1.1; for $u, v \in \mathscr{B}$, we say that u divides v, denoted $u|v$, if there are $w, s \in \mathscr{B}$ such that $v = \mathbf{LM}(wus)$; and we say that A has a (left, right, or two-sided) Gröbner basis theory (comparing with Definition 1.4) if, with respect to the (left, right, or two-sided) admissible system $(\mathscr{B}, \prec)$, every proper (left, right, or two-sided) ideal I of A has a generating set $\mathcal{G}$ such that $f \in I$ implies $\mathbf{LM}(g)|\mathbf{LM}(f)$ for some $g \in \mathcal{G}$. Similar convention is valid for A^{Γ}_{LH}.

2.10. Theorem Let $R = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} R_\gamma$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \prec_{gr})$ be as in Proposition 2.6, and let I be an arbitrary proper ideal of R (i.e., I is not necessarily generated by monomials and binomials). Put $A = R/I$, $A^{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{LH}} = R/\langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle$. With notation as before and the convention made above, suppose that

(a) A^{Γ}_{LH} is a domain; and

(b) A^{Γ}_{LH} has a (left, right, or two-sided) Gröbner basis theory with respect to some (left, right, or two-sided) admissible system $(\widehat{N(I)}, \prec_{\widehat{gr}})$, where $\prec_{\widehat{gr}}$ is a Γ-graded (left, right, or two-sided) monomial ordering on $\widehat{N(I)}$, such that every Γ-graded (left, right, or two-sided) ideal of A^{Γ}_{LH} has a (left, right, or two-sided) Gröbner basis consisting of Γ-homogeneous elements.

Then the order $\prec$ on $\overline{N(I)}$ defined subject to the rule: for $\overline{u}, \overline{v} \in \overline{N(I)}$,

$$\bar{u} \prec \bar{v} \text{ whenever } \hat{u} \prec_{\widehat{gr}} \hat{v},$$

is a (left, right, or two-sided) monomial ordering on $\overline{N(I)}$, such that every (left, right, or two-sided) ideal of A has a (left, right, or two-sided) Gröbner basis.

Proof Note that it is not difficult to check that if R has a left or right Gröbner basis theory with respect to some left or right Γ-graded monomial ordering on $\mathcal{B}$, then an analogue of Proposition 2.6 holds for a left or right ideal. The proof given below will show that it is enough to conclude that A has a two-sided Gröbner basis theory whenever $A^{\Gamma}_{\rm LH}$ has a two-sided Gröbner basis theory.

Since $\prec$ is clearly a well-ordering on $\overline{N(I)}$, we show first that $\prec$ satisfies the axioms (MO1) – (MO2) of Definition 1.1(i). We start by finding the relation between $\mathbf{LM}(\hat{f}\ )$ and $\mathbf{LM}(\bar{f}\ )$ for a nonzero $f \in R$, where $\hat{f} = f + \langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle \in A^{\Gamma}_{\rm LH}$, $\bar{f} = f + I \in A$. First note that $I^* = I - \{0\}$ is trivially a Gröbner basis of I, and hence $\mathbf{LH}(I^*)$ is a Gröbner basis for $\langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle$ by Proposition 2.6(ii). Thus, writing $f = \mathbf{LH}(f) + f'$ with $d(f') < d(f) = d(\mathbf{LH}(f))$ and noticing that $\prec_{gr}$ is a Γ-graded monomial ordering on $\mathcal{B}$, the division by $\mathbf{LH}(I^*)$ turns out that the homogeneous element $\mathbf{LH}(f)$ has a Gröbner presentation (Definition 1.2):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{LH}(f) &= \sum_{i,j}\lambda_{ij}w_{ij}\mathbf{LH}(f_j)v_{ij} + \sum_{\ell}\lambda_\ell u_\ell \text{ with } \lambda_{ij} \in K^*,\ \lambda_\ell \in K,\ w_{ij}, v_{ij} \in \mathcal{B},\ f_j \in I^*,\\ &\quad \text{where if } \lambda_\ell \neq 0 \text{ then } \ u_\ell \in N(\langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle) = N(I),\ d(u_\ell) = d(\mathbf{LH}(f)) = d(f),\end{aligned}$$

and that if $f' \neq 0$ then f' has a Gröbner presentation

$$\begin{aligned}f' &= \sum_{p,q}\lambda_{pq}w_{pq}\mathbf{LH}(f_q)v_{pq} + \sum_{h}\lambda_h u_h \text{ with } \lambda_{pq} \in K^*, \lambda_h \in K,\ w_{pq}, v_{pq} \in \mathcal{B},\ f_q \in I^*,\\ &\quad \text{where if } \lambda_h \neq 0 \text{ then } u_h \in N(\langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle) = N(I),\ d(u_h) < d(\mathbf{LH}(f)) = d(f).\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}f = \mathbf{LH}(f) + f' &= \sum_{i,j}\lambda_{ij}w_{ij}\mathbf{LH}(f_j)v_{ij} + \sum_{p,q}\lambda_{pq}w_{pq}\mathbf{LH}(f_q)v_{pq}\\ &\quad + \sum_{d(u_\ell)=d(f)}\lambda_\ell u_\ell + \sum_{d(u_h)<d(f)}\lambda_h u_h.\end{aligned}$$

If we write each f_j appearing in the presentation of $\mathbf{LH}(f)$ obtained above as $f_j = \mathbf{LH}(f_j) + f'_j$ with $d(f'_j) < d(f_j)$, then, since Γ is an ordered semigroup, f has a presentation

$$\begin{aligned}f &= \sum_{i,j}\lambda_{ij}w_{ij}f_jv_{ij} + f' - \sum_{ij}\lambda_{ij}w_{ij}f'_jv_{ij} + \sum_{\ell}\lambda_\ell u_\ell \ \text{ satisfying}\\ &\quad d\left(f' - \sum_{ij}\lambda_{ij}w_{ij}f'_jv_{ij}\right) < d(f),\ u_\ell \in N(\langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle) = N(I) \text{ and } d(u_\ell) = d(f).\end{aligned}$$

If furthermore we do division by I^* with respect to $\prec_{gr}$, then the remainder of $f' - \sum_{ij}\lambda_{ij}w_{ij}f'_jv_{ij}$ must have degree $< d(f)$. Summing up, recalling that the Γ-gradation of $A^{\Gamma}_{\rm LH}$ is the one induced by the Γ-gradation of R, and that the order $\prec$ on $\overline{N(I)}$ is defined subject to the Γ-graded monomial ordering $\prec_{\widehat{gr}}$ on $\widehat{N(I)}$, we are now able to conclude that if $\mathbf{LH}(f)\notin\langle\mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle$, or equivalently, if $\widehat{\mathbf{LH}(f)}\neq 0$, then

$$\mathbf{LM}(\hat{f}\ )=\hat{u}\ \text{implies}\ \mathbf{LM}(\bar{f}\ )=\bar{u},\ \text{ where } u\in N(I). \tag{1}$$

Next, for $\bar{u}$, $\bar{v}$, $\bar{w}$, $\bar{s}\in\overline{N(I)}$, suppose $\bar{u}\prec\bar{v}$, $\mathbf{LM}(\bar{w}\bar{u}\bar{s})\notin K^*\cup\{0\}$ and $\mathbf{LM}(\bar{w}\bar{v}\bar{s})\notin K^*\cup\{0\}$. Then $\hat{u}\prec_{\widehat{gr}}\hat{v}$ by the definition of $\prec$. Also we conclude that $\mathbf{LM}(\hat{w}\hat{u}\hat{s})\notin K^*\cup\{0\}$, $\mathbf{LM}(\hat{w}\hat{v}\hat{s})\notin K^*\cup\{0\}$, and hence

$$\mathbf{LM}(\widehat{wus})=\mathbf{LM}(\hat{w}\hat{u}\hat{s})\prec_{\widehat{gr}}\mathbf{LM}(\hat{w}\hat{v}\hat{s})=\mathbf{LM}(\widehat{wvs}). \tag{2}$$

To see this, put $f=wus$, $g=wvs$. Then, noticing that $w,u,v,s\in\mathcal{B}$ are Γ-homogeneous elements of R, we have $f=\mathbf{LH}(f)=wus$, $g=\mathbf{LH}(g)=wvs$, and thereby $\hat{f}=\widehat{\mathbf{LH}(f)}=\widehat{wus}\neq 0$, $\hat{g}=\widehat{\mathbf{LH}(g)}=\widehat{wvs}\neq 0$, since $A^{\Gamma}_{\rm LH}$ is a domain by the assumption (a). Let $\mathbf{LM}(\hat{f}\ )=\widehat{u_1}$ and $\mathbf{LM}(\hat{g}\ )=\widehat{v_1}$ with u_1, $v_1\in N(I)$. Then it follows from the assertion (1) above that

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{u_1}&=\mathbf{LM}(\bar{f}\ )=\mathbf{LM}(\overline{wus})=\mathbf{LM}(\bar{w}\bar{u}\bar{s}),\\ \overline{v_1}&=\mathbf{LM}(\bar{g}\ )=\mathbf{LM}(\overline{wvs})=\mathbf{LM}(\bar{w}\bar{v}\bar{s}).\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Thus $\mathbf{LM}(\hat{w}\hat{u}\hat{s})\notin K^*\cup\{0\}$, $\mathbf{LM}(\hat{w}\hat{v}\hat{s})\notin K^*\cup\{0\}$ and (2) follows. Consequently, (2) + (3) gives rise to

$$\mathbf{LM}(\bar{w}\bar{u}\bar{s})=\overline{u_1}\prec\overline{v_1}=\mathbf{LM}(\bar{w}\bar{v}\bar{s}).$$

This proves that $\prec$ satisfies (MO1). It remains to show that $\prec$ satisfies (MO2) as well. Suppose that $\bar{u}$, $\bar{w}$, $\bar{v}$, $\bar{s}\in\overline{N(I)}$ satisfy $\bar{u}=\mathbf{LM}(\bar{w}\bar{v}\bar{s})$ (where $\bar{w}\neq\bar{1}$ or $\bar{s}\neq\bar{1}$ if $1\in\mathcal{B}$, hence $w\neq 1$ or $s\neq 1$ in $N(I)$ and $\hat{w}\neq\hat{1}$ or $\hat{s}\neq\hat{1}$ in $\widehat{N(I)}$). Since $A^{\Gamma}_{\rm LH}$ is a domain, $\mathbf{LM}(\hat{w}\hat{v}\hat{s})\neq 0$. So, if $\mathbf{LM}(\hat{w}\hat{v}\hat{s})=\mathbf{LM}(\widehat{wvs})=\widehat{v_1}$ with $v_1\in N(I)$, then, as argued above, it follows from the foregoing assertion (1) that $\bar{u}=\mathbf{LM}(\bar{w}\bar{v}\bar{s})=\mathbf{LM}(\overline{wvs})=\overline{v_1}$. Thus, $\hat{v}\prec_{\widehat{gr}}\widehat{v_1}$ implies that $\bar{v}\prec\bar{u}$, as desired.

Finally, we prove that if $\overline{J}=J/I$ is a proper ideal of $A=R/I$, where J is an ideal of R containing I, then $\overline{J}$ has a Gröbner basis $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ with respect to the admissible system $(\prec,\overline{N(I)})$ obtained above. To this end, let us note first that if $f\in R-I$, then since Γ is ordered by a well-ordering and $\langle\mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle$ is a Γ-graded ideal of R, there is some $f'\in R$ such that $\mathbf{LH}(f')\notin\langle\mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle$ and $\bar{f}=\overline{f'}$. So, without loss of generality, we may always assume that

$$f\in R-I\ \text{implies}\ \mathbf{LH}(f)\notin\langle\mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle. \tag{4}$$

Consequently, since the Γ-gradation of $A^{\Gamma}_{\rm LH}$ is induced by the Γ-gradation of R, and since $\prec_{\widehat{gr}}$ is a Γ-graded monomial ordering on $\widehat{N(I)}$, if we write $f=\mathbf{LH}(f)+f_1$ then

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{LH}(\hat{f})=\widehat{\mathbf{LH}(f)},\\ &\mathbf{LM}(\hat{f})=\mathbf{LM}(\mathbf{LH}(\hat{f}))=\mathbf{LM}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{LH}(f)}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Now, noticing that $\mathbf{LH}(I) \subset \mathbf{LH}(J)$ and thus $\widehat{J} = \langle \mathbf{LH}(J)\rangle / \langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle$ is a Γ-graded ideal of A^{Γ}_{LH}, by Proposition 1.5 and Proposition 2.6(i), $\widehat{J} \neq A^{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{LH}}$. Hence, with respect to the data $(\widehat{N(I)}, \prec_{\widehat{gr}})$, $\widehat{J}$ has a Gröbner basis $\mathscr{G}$ consisting of Γ-homogeneous elements. Once again since the Γ-gradation of A^{Γ}_{LH} is induced by the Γ-gradation of R, and thus $\widehat{\mathbf{LH}(J)} = \{\widehat{\mathbf{LH}(h)} \mid h \in J\}$ is a generating set of $\widehat{J}$ consisting of Γ-homogeneous elements, it is straightforward to check (or see Lemma 4.2 in later Section 4) that $\mathscr{G} \subset \widehat{\mathbf{LH}(J)}$. Hence there is a subset $\mathcal{G} \subset J - I$ such that $\widehat{\mathbf{LH}(\mathcal{G})} = \mathscr{G}$. Put $\overline{\mathcal{G}} = \{\bar{g} \mid g \in \mathcal{G}\}$. If $\bar{f} \in \overline{J} - \{0\}$, then by the previous (4) and (5), there is some $g \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\mathbf{LM}(\widehat{\mathbf{LH}(g)})|\mathbf{LM}(\bar{f})$, and consequently $\mathbf{LM}(\hat{g})|\mathbf{LM}(\hat{f})$, i.e., there are $\hat{u}, \hat{v} \in \widehat{N(I)}$ such that $\mathbf{LM}(\hat{f}) = \mathbf{LM}(\hat{u}\mathbf{LM}(\hat{g})\hat{v})$. It follows from the foregoing (1) and the argument given before the formula (3) that

$$\mathbf{LM}(\bar{f}) = \mathbf{LM}(\bar{u}\mathbf{LM}(\bar{g})\bar{v}).$$

This shows that $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ is a Gröbner basis for $\overline{J}$. □

Remark From the proof given above it is clear that if every Γ-graded (left, right, or two-sided) ideal of A^{Γ}_{LH} has a finite (left, right, or two-sided) Gröbner basis consisting of Γ-homogeneous elements. Then every (left, right, or two-sided) ideal of A has a finite (left, right, or two-sided) Gröbner basis.

There are examples of algebras other than algebras of the solvable type in the sense of [K-RW] to illustrate Theorem 2.10.

Example (8) If in Corollary 2.5(i) – (ii) we have $\Omega = \emptyset$ and all the $\lambda_{ji} \neq 0$, then it follows from the proof of Theorem 2.2 (also a similar proof of Theorem 2.3, though it is not mentioned there) that the quadratic algebra considered in (i) – (ii) respectively is a domain. Hence, by Proposition 2.6, if $\mathcal{G}$ is a Gröbner basis of the ideal $I = \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$ in $K\langle X_1, ..., X_n\rangle$ such that $\mathbf{LH}(\mathcal{G})$ is of the form as described in Corollary 2.5(i) – (ii) respectively but with $\Omega = \emptyset$ and all the $\lambda_{ji} \neq 0$, then the quotient algebra $K\langle X_1, ..., X_n\rangle / I$ will provide us with a practical algebra required by Theorem 2.10. Omitting tedious verification, we list a family of the desired Gröbner bases $\mathcal{G}$ in $K\langle X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\rangle$ consisting of

$$\begin{array}{ll} g_{43} = X_4X_3 - \lambda_{43}X_3X_4 - \alpha X_2, & g_{32} = X_3X_2 - \lambda_{32}X_2X_3 - \gamma X_4, \\ g_{42} = X_4X_2 - \lambda_{42}X_2X_4 + \beta X_3, & g_{31} = X_3X_1 - \lambda_{31}X_1X_4, \\ g_{41} = X_4X_1 - \lambda_{41}X_1X_2, & g_{21} = X_2X_1 - \lambda_{21}X_1X_3, \end{array}$$

where $\lambda_{ji}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in K^*$ satisfying

$$\begin{array}{l} \lambda_{21}\alpha = \beta,\ \lambda_{31}\beta = \gamma,\ \lambda_{41}\gamma = \alpha, \\ \lambda_{43}\lambda_{42} = 1,\ \lambda_{43} = \lambda_{32}, \end{array}$$

and the monomial ordering used here is the $\mathbb{N}$-graded lexicographic monomial ordering $\prec_{grlex}$ with respect to $d(X_i) = 1$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$, such that $X_1 \prec_{grlex} X_2 \prec_{grlex} X_3 \prec_{grlex} X_4$.

3. Skew 2-nomial Algebras

In Section 2 we have listed some practical algebras (including numerous quantum binomial algebras in the sense of [Laf] and [G-I2]) defined by elements of the form $u - \lambda v$ and w, where u, v, w are monomials and $\lambda \in K^*$, which are not the familiar types of algebras manipulated by classical Gröbner basis theory, such as the Gröbner basis theory for solvable polynomial algebras or their homomorphic images ([AL], [K-RW], [HT], [BGV], [Lev]), but may hold a left Gröbner basis theory or a right Gröbner basis theory. Inspired by such a fact, we introduce more general skew 2-nomial algebras in this section, and, as the first step of having a (one-sided or two-sided) Gröbner basis theory, we establish the existence of a skew multiplicative K-basis for such algebras. As to the existence of a (one-sided or two-sided) monomial ordering, examples of Section 2 have told us that it has to be a matter of examining concrete individual class of algebras.

Throughout the current section R denotes a K-algebra with a *skew multiplicative K-basis $\mathcal{B}$*. Moreover, here and in what follows, with respect to a skew multiplicative K-basis, a one-sided or two-sided Gröbner basis theory means the one in the sense of Definition 1.4. All notations used before are maintained.

We start by generalizing the sufficiency result of ([Gr2], Theorem 2.3). Let I be an arbitrary ideal of R. Then we may define a relation $\sim_I$ on $\mathcal{B} \cup \{0\}$ as follows: if $v, u \in \mathcal{B} \cup \{0\}$, then

$$v \sim_I u \Longleftrightarrow \exists\ \lambda \in K^* \text{ such that } v - \lambda u \in I.$$

3.1. Lemma $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{B} \cup \{0\}$.

Proof Note that K is a field, and $v \in I$ if and only if $v \sim_I 0$. The verification of reflexivity, symmetry, and transitivity for $\sim_I$ is straightforward. □

Recall that elements of $\mathcal{B}$ are called monomials. If $h = v - \lambda u \in R$ with $v, u \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\lambda \in K^*$, then we call h a *skew 2-nomial* in R; and thus we call the equivalence relation $\sim_I$ obtained above a *skew 2-nomial relation* on $\mathcal{B} \cup \{0\}$ determined by the ideal I.

3.2. Definition An ideal I of R is said to be a *skew 2-nomial ideal* if I is generated by monomials and skew 2-nomials. If I is a skew 2-nomial ideal of R, then we call the corresponding quotient algebra $A = R/I$ a *skew 2-nomial algebra*.

Remark Instead of comparing to other references, for instance [GI-1], [Laf], or [ES] in the commutative case, we used the phrase "skew 2-nomial ideal" just for differing from the phrase "2-nomial ideal" used in [Gr2] (see a remark given after Theorem 3.4).

3.3. Lemma Let I be a skew 2-nomial ideal of R and $\sim_I$ the skew 2-nomial relation on $\mathcal{B} \cup \{0\}$ determined by I. If $f = \sum_j \lambda_j w_j$ with $\lambda_j \in K^*$ and $w_j \in \mathcal{B}$, then $f \in I$ if and only if for each equivalence class $[w]$ of $\sim_I$, $\sum_{w_j\in[w]}\lambda_jw_j\in I$.

Proof The sufficiency is clear. To get the necessity, suppose $f=\sum_j\lambda_jw_j\in I$. Then since $\mathcal{B}$ is a skew multiplicative K-basis and I is an ideal, f has a presentation of the form

$$\begin{aligned} f=\sum_j\lambda_jw_j &= \sum_p\mu_p(v_p-\gamma_pu_p)+\sum_q\eta_qs_q\\ &\quad\text{with } v_p-\gamma_pu_p, s_q\in I,\ \mu_p,\gamma_p,\eta_q\in K^*,\\ &\quad\text{but } v_p,u_p\not\in I \text{ for every } p.\end{aligned}$$

Note that for v, $s\in\mathcal{B}$, if $s\in I$ but $v\not\in I$, then $v\not\sim_I s$. So, comparing both sides of the above equality subject to the equivalence relation $\sim_I$, if $[w]$ is any equivalence class of $\sim_I$, then

$$\text{either}\quad \sum_{w_j\in[w]}\lambda_jw_j=\sum_{v_p,u_p\in[w]}\mu_p(v_p-\gamma_pu_p)\in I,$$

$$\text{or}\qquad \sum_{w_j\in[w]}\lambda_jw_j=\sum_{s_q\in[w]}\eta_qs_q\in I,$$

as wanted. $\Box$

To make use of unified notation as before, if I is an ideal of R and π: $R\rightarrow R/I$ is the canonical surjection, then, for $f\in R$, in what follows we write $\bar{f}$ for the canonical image of f in R/I, i.e., $\bar{f}=f+I$.

3.4. Theorem Let I be a skew 2-nomial ideal of R, $A=R/I$, and let $\sim_I$ be the skew 2-nomial relation on $\mathcal{B}\cup\{0\}$ determined by I. Considering the image $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ of $\mathcal{B}$ under the canonical surjection π: $R\rightarrow A$, if we put $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*=\overline{\mathcal{B}}-\{0\}$, then the following statements hold.
(i) With respect to the inclusion order on subsets of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*$, $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*$ contains a maximal subset, denoted $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$, with the property that

$$\overline{u_1},\overline{u_2}\in\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I},\ \overline{u_1}\neq\overline{u_2} \text{ implies } u_1\not\sim_I u_2.$$

(ii) The maximal subset $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$ of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*$ obtained in (i) above forms a skew multiplicative K-basis for the skew 2-nomial algebra A.

Proof (i) The existence of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$ with respect to the inclusion order on subsets of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*$ follows from Zorn's Lemma.
(ii) Since $\mathcal{B}$ is a skew multiplicative K-basis of R, if $u,v\in\mathcal{B}$, then either $uv=0$ or $uv=\lambda w$ for some $\lambda\in K^*$, $w\in\mathcal{B}$. Hence, for $\bar{u}$, $\bar{v}\in\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$, either $\bar{u}\bar{v}=0$, or $\bar{u}\bar{v}\neq 0$ and so $\bar{u}\bar{v}=\overline{uv}=\lambda\bar{w}$ with $\lambda\in K^*$ and $\bar{w}\in\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*$. If $\bar{w}\not\in\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$, then by the definition of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$, there is some $\bar{s}\in\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$ such that $s\sim_I w$. Consequently $\bar{w}=\mu\bar{s}$ for some $\mu\in K^*$ and thereby $\bar{u}\bar{v}=\lambda\mu\bar{s}$ with $\lambda\mu\in K^*$. Thus, the skew multiplication property of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$ is proved. Furthermore, we proceed to show that $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$ forms a K-basis of A. Again by the definition of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$, if $\bar{w}\in\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*$ and $\bar{w}\not\in\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$, then $\bar{w}=\lambda\bar{v}$ for

some $\lambda \in K^*$ and $\bar{v} \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$. It follows that $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$ spans A. To see that elements of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$ are linearly independent over K, suppose that $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\lambda_j\overline{w_j} = 0$ and that the $\overline{w_j}$ are distinct, where $\lambda_j \in K$ and $\overline{w_j} \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$. Then $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\lambda_j w_j \in I$. By Lemma 3.3, $\sum_{w_j\in[u]}\lambda_j w_j \in I$ holds for every equivalence class $[u]$ of $\sim_I$. But $w_j \in [u]$ implies $w_j \sim_I u$. Noticing that $\sim_I$ is an equivalence relation and that all the $\overline{w_j}$ are distinct, it follows from the definition of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$ that different w_j's are contained in different equivalence classes. So $\lambda_j w_j \in I$ and $\lambda_j\overline{w_j} = 0$. Since $\overline{w_j} \neq 0$, it turns out that $\lambda_j = 0$ for all j. This completes the proof. □

Remark Let R be a K-algebra with K-basis $\mathcal{B}$. Recall from ([Gr2], Section 2) that an ideal I of R is said to be a 2-*nomial ideal* if I can be generated by elements of the form $b_1 - b_2$ and b, where $b_1, b_2, b \in \mathcal{B}$. By ([Gr2], Theorem 2.3), if $\mathcal{B}$ is a *multiplicative K-basis* of R (i.e., $u, v \in \mathcal{B}$ implies $uv = 0$ or $uv \in \mathcal{B}$) and I is a 2-nomial ideal of R, then, under the canonical epimorphism π: $R \to R/I$, the set $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^* = \overline{\mathcal{B}} - \{0\}$ forms a multiplicative K-basis of R/I. Since a multiplicative K-basis is trivially a skew multiplicative K-basis and any 2-nomial ideal is trivially a skew 2-nomial ideal, it is easy to see that in the case that $\mathcal{B}$ is a multiplicative K-basis and I is a 2-nomial ideal we must have $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^* = \overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$, where the latter is the set obtained in Theorem 3.4(i) above. That is, actually the preceding Theorem 3.4 generalizes the sufficiency result of ([Gr2], Theorem 2.3).

Next, suppose further that there is a two-sided monomial ordering $\prec$ on $\mathcal{B}$, that is, $(\mathcal{B}, \prec)$ forms an admissible system $(\mathcal{B}, \prec)$ of R and hence R holds a two-sided Gröbner basis theory (note that $\mathcal{B}$ is now a skew multiplicative K-basis of R). Moreover, in this case if I is an ideal of R, and $A = R/I$, then it is easily seen that the set of normal monomials in $\mathcal{B}$ (modulo I) is given by $N(I) = \mathcal{B} - \mathbf{LM}(I)$. Since the image $\overline{N(I)}$ of $N(I)$ under the canonical algebra epimorphism π: $R \to A$ forms a K-basis of A and $\overline{N(I)} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{B}}^*$, by ([Gr2], Theorem 2.3), we first note the following fact.

3.5. Proposition Let I be a 2-nomial ideal of R in the sense of [Gr2]. Then $\overline{N(I)} = \overline{\mathcal{B}}^*$ and hence $\overline{N(I)}$ is a multiplicative K-basis for $A = R/I$.

□

Turning to the case of Theorem 3.4, we shall prove, under the assumption that R has an admissible system, that $\overline{N(I)}$ forms a skew multiplicative K-basis for $A = R/I$.

3.6. Lemma Suppose that R has an admissible system $(\mathcal{B}, \prec)$. Let I be a skew 2-nomial ideal of R, $A = R/I$, and let $N(I)$ be the set of normal monomials in $\mathcal{B}$ (modulo I). With notation as in Theorem 3.4, the following statements hold.

(i) For each $\bar{w} \in \overline{N(I)}$, there is a unique $\lambda \in K^*$ and a unique $\bar{u} \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$ such that $\bar{w} = \lambda\bar{u}$; and if $\overline{w_1}$, $\overline{w_2} \in \overline{N(I)}$ with $\overline{w_1} = \lambda_1\overline{u_1}$ and $\overline{w_2} = \lambda_2\pi(u_2)$ respectively, then $\overline{w_1} \neq \overline{w_2}$ implies

$\pi(u_1) \neq \overline{u_2}$.
(ii) For each $\bar{u} \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$, there is some $\bar{w} \in \overline{N(I)}$ such that $\bar{u} = \mu\bar{w}$ with $\mu \in K^*$.

Proof To prove the assertions mentioned in (i) – (ii), let us bear in mind that $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$ is a K-basis of A by Theorem 3.4, and that $\overline{N(I)}$ is also a K-basis of A by the classical Gröbner basis theory.
(i) Note that $\overline{N(I)} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{B}}^*$. Let $\bar{w} \in \overline{N(I)}$. Then it follows from the definition of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$ that $\bar{w} = \lambda\bar{u}$ for some $\lambda \in K^*$ and $\bar{u} \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$ is a K-basis, the obtained expression is unique. If $\overline{w_1}, \overline{w_2} \in \overline{N(I)}$ and $\overline{w_1} = \lambda_1\overline{u_1}$ and $\overline{w_2} = \lambda_2\overline{u_2}$, then $\overline{u_1} = \overline{u_2}$ would clearly imply the linear dependence of $\overline{w_1}$ and $\overline{w_2}$. Hence the second assertion of (i) follows from the fact that $\overline{N(I)}$ is a K-basis.
(ii) Since $\overline{N(I)}$ is a K-basis for A, each $\bar{u} \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$ has a unique linear expression $\bar{u} = \sum_{p=1}^{m}\mu_p\overline{w_p}$ with $\mu_p \in K^*$ and $\overline{w_p} \in \overline{N(I)}$. By (i), $\bar{u} = \sum_{p=1}^{m}\mu_p\lambda_p\overline{u_p}$ with $\lambda_p \in K^*$ and $\overline{u_p} \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$, in which $\overline{w_p} = \lambda_p\overline{u_p}$ and all the $\overline{u_p}$ are distinct. If, without loss of generality, $\bar{u} = \overline{u_1}$, then $\bar{u} = \lambda_1^{-1}\overline{w_1}$, as desired. □

3.7. Theorem With notation and the assumption as in Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 3.6, $\overline{N(I)}$ is a skew multiplicative K-basis for the skew 2-nomial algebra $A = R/I$.

Proof If $\overline{w_1}, \overline{w_2} \in \overline{N(I)}$ and $\overline{w_1}\overline{w_2} \neq 0$, then by Lemma 3.6 and the fact that $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$ is a skew multiplicative K-basis of A (Theorem 3.4(ii)), there are $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \mu \in K^*$, $\overline{u_1}, \overline{u_2} \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$ and $\overline{w_3} \in \overline{N(I)}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{w_1} \cdot \overline{w_2} &= \lambda_1\overline{u_1}\lambda_2\overline{u_2}\\ &= \lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\overline{u_3}\\ &= \lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\mu\overline{w_3}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that the K-basis $\overline{N(I)}$ of A is a skew multiplicative K-basis. □

As we will see below, indeed, a better proof of Theorem 3.7 may be obtained by employing the division by a Gröbner basis of I, which involves the following interesting result (a noncommutative analogue of ([ES], Proposition 1.1)).

3.8. Proposition Suppose that R has an admissible system $(\mathcal{B}, \prec)$, and let I be a skew 2-nomial ideal of R. Then I has a Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ consisting of monomials and skew 2-nomials, i.e., elements of the form $v - \lambda u$, s with $\lambda \in K^*$ and $v, u, s \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof Let G be any Gröbner basis of I. Then, since I is generated by skew 2-nomials and monomials, as in the proof of Lemma 3.3, each $g \in G$ has a presentation of the form

$$\begin{aligned}g &= \sum_p \mu_p(v_p - \gamma_p u_p) + \sum_q \eta_q s_q\\ &\quad \text{with } v_p - \gamma_p u_p, s_q \in I,\ \mu_p, \gamma_p, \eta_q \in K^*,\\ &\quad \text{but } v_p, u_p \notin I \text{ for every } p.\end{aligned}$$

Let $g = \sum_j \lambda_j w_j$, where $\lambda_j \in K^*$ and $w_j \in \mathcal{B}$. If $\mathbf{LM}(g) \notin I$, then we claim that there is some w_j appearing in $\sum_j \lambda_j w_j$ such that $w_j \neq \mathbf{LM}(g)$, $\mathbf{LM}(g) - \mu w_j \in I$, and $\mathbf{LM}(\mathbf{LM}(g) - \mu w_j) = \mathbf{LM}(g)$, where $\mu \in K^*$. Otherwise, considering the skew 2-nomial relation $\sim_I$ on $\mathcal{B} \cup \{0\}$ determined by I, and letting $[\mathbf{LM}(g)]$ be the equivalence class of of $\sim_I$ represented by $\mathbf{LM}(g)$, by Lemma 3.3 we would have

$$\sum_{w_j \in [\mathbf{LM}(g)]} \lambda_j w_j = \mathbf{LC}(g)\mathbf{LM}(g) = \sum_{v_p, u_p \in [\mathbf{LM}(g)]} \mu_p (v_p - \gamma_p u_p) \in I,$$

and consequently $\mathbf{LM}(g) \in I$, a contradiction. Thus, if we put

$$\mathcal{G} = \left\{ v - \lambda u,\ s \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} v, u \in \mathcal{B} - I,\ \lambda \in K^*,\ v - \lambda u \in I,\ s \in \mathcal{B} \cap I,\ \text{such that} \\ \mathbf{LM}(v - \lambda u) = \mathbf{LM}(g_i),\ s = \mathbf{LM}(g_j) \text{ for some } g_i, g_j \in G \end{array} \right\},$$

then $\mathbf{LM}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathbf{LM}(G)$ and hence $\mathcal{G}$ is a Gröbner basis for I. □

For the convenience of statement, we call the Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ obtained in Lemma 3.8 a *skew 2-nomial Gröbner basis* of the skew 2-nomial ideal I.

3.9. Lemma Suppose that R has an admissible system $(\mathcal{B}, \prec)$. Let I be a skew 2-nomial ideal of R and $\mathcal{G}$ a skew 2-nomial Gröbner basis of I. If $u \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfying $u \notin I$ and $u \notin N(I)$, where $N(I)$ is the set of normal monomials in $\mathcal{B}$ (modulo I), then u has a presentation

$$\begin{aligned} u \;&=\; \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{ij} w_{ij} g_j v_{ij} + \lambda u' \text{ with } \lambda_{ij}, \lambda \in K^*,\ w_{ij}, v_{ij} \in \mathcal{B},\ g_j \in \mathcal{G} \\ &\quad\ \text{and } u' \in N(I) \text{ satisfying } u' \prec u. \end{aligned}$$

Proof It is simply a consequence of doing division by $\mathcal{G}$. As $u \notin I$ and $u \notin N(I)$, there is some $g_i = u_i - \mu_i s_i \in \mathcal{G}$, such that $u = \lambda_i w_i \mathbf{LM}(g_i) v_i$ for some $\lambda_i \in K^*$, $w_i, v_i \in \mathcal{B}$. Suppose $\mathbf{LM}(g_i) = u_i$. Then since $\mathcal{B}$ is a skew multiplicative K-basis,

$$\begin{aligned} u - \lambda_i w_i g_i v_i \;&=\; \lambda_i \mu_i w_i s_i v_i = \gamma_i u_1 \text{ with } \gamma_i \in K^* \\ &\quad\ \text{and } u_1 \in \mathcal{B} \text{ satisfying } u_1 \prec u. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $u \notin I$ implies $u_1 \notin I$. If $u_1 \notin N(I)$, then repeat the division procedure for u_1. By the well-ordering property of $\prec$, the proof is finished after a finite number of reductions. □

Now, let π: $R \to A = R/I$ be the canonical epimorphism as before, and suppose that R has an admissible system $(\mathcal{B}, \prec)$. If $N(I)$ is the set of normal monomials in $\mathcal{B}$ (modulo I) and $\mathcal{G}$ is a skew 2-nomial Gröbner basis of I, then, since $\mathcal{B}$ is a skew multiplicative K-basis, for any u, $v \in N(I)$, it follows from Lemma 3.9 that

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{either } \bar{u}\bar{v} = 0, \\ &\text{or } \bar{u}\bar{v} = \overline{uv} = \lambda \bar{w} \text{ with } \lambda \in K^*,\ w \in N(I). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\overline{N(I)}$ is a skew multiplicative K-basis for the skew 2-nomial algebra A, that is, Theorem 3.7 is recaptured.

Finally, concerning the existence of a Gröbner basis theory for a skew 2-nomial algebra, let us mention the following conclusion.

3.10. Theorem Let R be a K-algebra with the skew multiplicative K-basis $\mathcal{B}$, and let I be a skew 2-nomial ideal of R, $A = R/I$.
(i) With notation as in Theorem 3.4, if there is a (left, right, or two-sided) monomial ordering $\prec$ on $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^*_{\sim_I}$, then the skew 2-nomial algebra A has a (left, right, or two-sided) Gröbner basis theory.
(ii) With notation and the assumption as in Theorem 3.7, if there is a (left, right, or two-sided) monomial ordering $\prec$ on $\overline{N(I)}$, then the skew 2-nomial algebra A has a (left, right, or two-sided) Gröbner basis theory.

4. Almost Skew 2-nomial Algebras

Naturally, the results of Sections 2 – 3, combined with the working principle of [Li2] (as indicated before Proposition 2.6), motivate us to introduce the class of algebras with the associated graded algebra which is a skew 2-nomial algebra (such as the algebras given in Example (8) of Section 2), namely the almost skew 2-nomial algebras defined below. As in previous sections, a one-sided or two-sided Gröbner basis theory means the one in the sense of Definition 1.4 or it is the one indicated before Theorem 2.10, depending on whether a skew multiplicative K-basis is used or not. All notations used before are maintained.

Let $R = \oplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} R_\gamma$ be a Γ-graded K-algebra, where Γ is an ordered semigroup by a total ordering $<$, and we assume that R has an admissible system $(\mathcal{B}, \prec)$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is a *skew multiplicative K-basis* of R consisting of *Γ-homogeneous elements*, and $\prec$ is a two-sided monomial ordering on $\mathcal{B}$. Hence R has a two-sided Gröbner basis theory with respect to $(\mathcal{B}, \prec)$.

Let I be an ideal of R, $A = R/I$, and $A^{\Gamma}_{\rm LH} = R/\langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle$ the Γ-leading homogeneous algebra of A as defined in Section 2, where $\mathbf{LH}(I)$ is the set of Γ-leading homogeneous elements of I.

4.1. Definition With notation as above, if $\langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle$ is a skew 2-nomial ideal, or equivalently, if $A^{\Gamma}_{\rm LH} = R/\langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle$ is a skew 2-nomial algebra, then we call the quotient algbera $A = R/I$ an *almost skew* 2-*nomial algebra*.

Before mentioning the main result of this section, let us demonstrate, by referring to Examples (5) – (7) of Section 2, how to obtain an almost skew 2-nomial algebra in terms of Gröbner bases in R. We begin by recording a property concerning the homogeneous generators of the Γ-graded ideal $\langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle$, which is the same as the property concerning the monomial generators of the monomial ideal $\langle \mathbf{LM}(I)\rangle$ if $\mathbf{LH}(\ )$ once is replaced by $\mathbf{LM}(\ )$.

4.2. Lemma With notation as above, let h be a nonzero Γ-homogeneous element of R. Then $h \in \langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle$ if and only if $h \in \mathbf{LH}(I)$.

Proof Since h is a homogeneous element, if $h \in \langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle$, then

$$h = \sum_{i,j} H_{ij}\mathbf{LH}(f_i)T_{ij},\ \text{where } H_{ij},\ T_{ij} \text{ are homogeneous elements and } f_i \in I.$$

If we put $f_i = \mathbf{LH}(f_i) + f_i'$, where $\deg(f_i') < \deg(f_i)$, then $f = \sum_{i,j} H_{ij}f_iT_{ij} \in I$ and

$$f = \sum_{ij} H_{ij}\mathbf{LH}(f_i)T_{ij} + \sum_{i,j} H_{ij}f_i'T_{ij} = h + \sum_{i,j} H_{ij}f_i'T_{ij}.$$

Hence it is clear that $h = \mathbf{LH}(f) \in \mathbf{LH}(I)$. The converse is trivial. $\square$

4.3. Proposition Let J be a Γ-graded ideal of R. If J is a skew 2-nomial ideal, then J has a skew 2-nomial Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ (as obtained in Proposition 3.8) but consisting of Γ-homogeneous elements.

Proof To be convenient, let $\mathrm{H}^\Gamma(J)$ denote the set of Γ-homogeneous elements of J. Noticing that J is a Γ-graded ideal, if $f = \sum_j r_{\gamma_j} \in R$ with $r_{\gamma_j} \in R_{\gamma_j}$, then $f \in J$ if and only if $r_{\gamma_j} \in J$ for all j. Thus, since $\mathcal{B}$ is a skew multiplicative K-basis, exactly as in Proposition 1.3(i) we obtain a homogeneous Gröbner basis for J as follows

$$G = \left\{ h \in \mathrm{H}^\Gamma(J) \;\middle|\; \text{if } h' \in \mathrm{H}^\Gamma(J) \text{ and } h' \neq h,\ \text{then } \mathbf{LM}(h') \not| \, \mathbf{LM}(h) \right\}.$$

Furthermore, noticing that J is also a skew 2-nomial ideal, actually as in the proof of Proposition 3.8, subject to G above we obtain a Gröbner basis of J in the desired form

$$\mathcal{G} = \left\{ v - \lambda u,\ s \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} v, u \in \mathcal{B} - J,\ \lambda \in K^*,\ v - \lambda u \in \mathrm{H}^\Gamma(J),\ s \in \mathcal{B} \cap J,\ \text{such that} \\ \mathbf{LM}(v - \lambda u) = \mathbf{LM}(h_i),\ s = \mathbf{LM}(h_j) \text{ for some } h_i, h_j \in G \end{array} \right\}.$$

$\square$

4.4. Corollary Suppose that R has a two-sided admissible system $(\mathcal{B}, \prec_{gr})$ in which $\prec_{gr}$ is a Γ-graded two-sided monomial ordering on $\mathcal{B}$, and let $A = R/I$ be an almost skew 2-nomial algebra in the sense of Definition 4.1. Then I has a Gröbner basis $\mathscr{G}$ such that $\mathbf{LH}(\mathscr{G})$ is a homogeneous skew 2-nomial Gröbner basis for the ideal $\langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle$ with respect to $(\mathcal{B}, \prec_{gr})$.

Proof Writing $J = \langle \mathbf{LH}(I)\rangle$, it follows from Proposition 4.3 and Lemma 4.2 that J has a skew 2-nomial Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathbf{LH}(I)$. Since $\prec_{gr}$ is a Γ-graded monomial ordering on $\mathcal{B}$, by Proposition 2.4(ii), the desired Gröbner basis $\mathscr{G}$ for I is given by

$$\mathscr{G} = \{ f \in I \mid \mathbf{LH}(f) = g,\ g \in \mathcal{G} \}.$$

$\square$

It follows from Corollary 4.4, Theorem 2.10, Theorem 3.7, and Theorem 3.10 that we can now write down the main result of this section.

4.5. Theorem Suppose that R has a two-sided admissible system $(\mathcal{B}, \prec_{gr})$ in which $\prec_{gr}$ is a Γ-graded two-sided monomial ordering on $\mathcal{B}$. Let I be an ideal of R, $A = R/I$. If $I = \langle \mathscr{G} \rangle$ is generated by a Gröbner basis $\mathscr{G}$ such that $\mathbf{LH}(\mathscr{G})$ consists of monomials and Γ-homogeneous skew 2-nomials, then the following statements hold.
(i) $\langle \mathbf{LH}(I) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{LH}(\mathscr{G}) \rangle$ is a Γ-graded skew 2-nomial ideal, the Γ-leading homogeneous algebra $A^{\Gamma}_{\rm LH} = R/\langle \mathbf{LH}(I) \rangle$ of A is a skew 2-nomial algebra, and hence A is an almost skew 2-nomial algebra.
(ii) Let $N(I)$ be the set of normal monomials in $\mathcal{B}$ (modulo I), and write

$$\widehat{N(I)} = \{\hat{u} = u + \langle \mathbf{LH}(I) \rangle \mid u \in N(I)\}$$

for the K-basis of $A^{\Gamma}_{\rm LH}$ (as in Section 2). Then $\widehat{N(I)}$ is a skew multiplicative K-basis of $A^{\Gamma}_{\rm LH}$. If furthermore there is a (left, right, or two-sided) monomial ordering $\prec_{_{\rm LH(I)}}$ on $\widehat{N(I)}$, then $A^{\Gamma}_{\rm LH}$ has a (left, right, or two-sided) Gröbner basis theory with respect to the admissible system $(\widehat{N(I)}, \prec_{_{\rm LH(I)}})$.
(iii) If $A^{\Gamma}_{\rm LH}$ is a domain and $\prec_{\widehat{gr}}$ is a Γ-graded (left, right, or two-sided) monomial ordering on $\widehat{N(I)}$, then A has a (left, right, or two-sided) Gröbner basis theory with respect to the (left, right, or two-sided) admissible system $(\overline{N(I)}, \prec)$, where

$$\overline{N(I)} = \{\overline{u} = u + I \mid u \in N(I)\}$$

is the K-basis of A determined by $N(I)$ (as in Section 3), and the monomial ordering $\prec$ on $\overline{N(I)}$ is defined subject to the rule: for $\overline{u}$, $\overline{v} \in \overline{N(I)}$, $\bar{u} \prec \bar{v}$ whenever $\hat{u} \prec_{\widehat{gr}} \hat{v}$.

5. Some Open Problems

As one may see from previous sections, the (left, right, or two-sided) Gröbner basis theory introduced for algebras with a skew multiplicative K-basis not only is in principle consistent with the well-known existed Gröbner basis theory, but also covers certain new classes of algebras (such as two subclasses of quantum binomial algebras, down-up algebras, and algebras with the associated graded algebra of such types) which are beyond the scope of algebras treated by the well-known existed Gröbner basis theory. However, the already obtained results concerning (almost) skew 2-nomial algebras are obviously far from being complete, for instance, even if for an algebra of the type as described in Examples (1), respectively Example (2) of Section 2 (which is a Noetherian quantum binomial algebra whenever $\lambda, \mu, \gamma \in K^*$ and $\gamma^2 = 1$, respectively $\lambda^2 = 1$), we do not know if there is an implementable analogue of Buchberger Algorithm for producing a finite (left or right) Gröbner basis; and moreover, proper subclasses of practical (almost) skew 2-nomial algebras, that are not the types we have considered in Section 2 but have a (left, right, or two-sided) monomial ordering, need to be dug further. Therefore, we finish this paper by summarizing several open problems related to preceding sections:

1. Under the Noetherian assumption, is there an analogue of Buchberger Algorithm for the algebras described in Corollary 2.5(i) – (iii) respectively?

2. For the algebras described in Corollary 2.5(i) – (iii), especially for those described in (i) – (ii) (including many quantum binomial algebras), is there a computational module theory (representation theory) in terms of (left, right, or two-sided) Gröbner bases as that developed in [Gr1] and [Gr2]?

3. Find more subclasses of skew 2-nomial algebras, in which each algebra is not the type as described in Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 respectively, but has a (left, right, or two-sided) monomial ordering.

4. Find more subclasses of almost skew 2-nomial algebras, in which the Γ-leading homogeneous algebra $A^{\Gamma}_{\rm LH}$ of each algebra A is not the type as described in Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.8 respectively, but satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.10.

5. For algebras as described in Example (8) of Section 2, if the Gröbner basis theory of $A^{\mathbb{N}}_{\rm LH}$ is algorithmically realizable, is it possible to realize the lifted Gröbner basis theory of A in an algorithmic way?

6. In view of Remark (2) given before Theorem 2.10, is there an algorithmically realizable Gröbner basis theory for the down-up algebras of the type $A(\alpha, 0, \gamma)$?

7. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a Gröbner basis of the ideal $I = \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle$ in the free K-algebra $K\langle X\rangle = K\langle X_1, ..., X_n\rangle$ with respect to some $\mathbb{N}$-graded monomial ordering, such that $\mathbf{LH}(\mathcal{G})$ is of the type as described in Corollary 2.5(i) or Corollary 2.5(ii), but with $\Omega = \emptyset$ and all the $\lambda_{ji} \neq 0$, and such that the $\mathbb{N}$-leading homogeneous algebra $A^{\mathbb{N}}_{\rm LH} = K\langle X\rangle/\langle \mathbf{LH}(\mathcal{G})\rangle$ of $A = K\langle X\rangle/I$ is a quantum binomial algebra in the sense of [Laf] and [G-I2]. By referring to [Laf], [G-I2] and [Li2], explore the structural properties of A via $A^{\mathbb{N}}_{\rm LH}$ in a computational way.

References

[AL] J. Apel and W. Lassner, An extension of Buchberger's algorithm and calculations in enveloping fields of Lie algebras, *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 6(1988), 361–370.

[Ap] J. Apel, Computational ideal theory in finitely generated extension rings, *Theoretical Computer Science*, 244(2000) 1 – 33.

[Ben] G. Benkart, Down-up algebras and Witten's deformations of the universal enveloping algebra of sl_2, *Contemp. Math.*, 224(1999), 29–45.

[Bu] B. Buchberger, Gröbner bases: An algorithmic method in polynomial ideal theory, in: *Multidimensional Systems Theory* (N.K. Bose, ed.), Reidel Dordrecht, 1985, 184–232.

[BGV] J. Bueso, J. Gómez–Torrecillas, and A. Verschoren, *Algorithmic methods in non-commutative algebra: Applications to quantum groups.* Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2003.

[CM] P. Carvalho and M. Musson, Down-up algebras and their representation theory, *J. Alg.*, 228(2000), 286–310.

[CS] T. Cassidy and B. Shelton, Basic properties of generalized down-up algebras, *J. Alg.*, 279(2004), 402-421

[ES] D. Eisenbud and B. Sturmfels, Binomial ideals, *Duke Math. J.*, 1(84)(1996), 1 – 45.

[FFG] D.R. Farkas, C. Feustel and E.L. Green, Synergy in the theories of Gröbner bases and path algebras, *Canad. J. Math.*, 45(1993), 727 – 739.

[G-I1] T. Gateva-Ivanova, Noetherian properties of skew polynomial rings with binomial relations, *Trans. Amer, Math. Sco.*, 1(343)(1994), 203 – 219

[G-I2] T. Gateva-Ivanova, Binomial skew polynomial rings, Artin-Schelter regularity, and binomial solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation, arXiv:0909.4707.

[GL] K.R. Goodearl and E.S. Letzter, Prime and primitive spectra of multiparameter quantum affine spaces, in: Trends in Ring Theory (MisKolc, 1996) (V. Dlab and L. marki, eds.), *Canad. Math. Soc. Conf. Proc. Series* 22(1998), 39 – 58.

[Gr1] E.L. Green, Noncommutative Gröbner bases and projective resolutions, in: *Proceedings of the Euroconference Computational Methods for Representations of Groups and Algebras, Essen, 1997*, (Michler, Schneider, eds), Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 173, Basel, Birkhaüser Verlag, 1999, 29 – 60.

[Gr2] E.L. Green, Multiplicative bases, Gröbner bases, and right Gröbner bases, *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 29(2000), 601 – 623.

[HT] D. Hartley and P. Tuckey, Gröbner Bases in Clifford and Grassmann Algebras, *J. Symb. Comput.*, 20(1995), 197–205.

[KMP] E. Kirkman, I.M. Musson, and D.S. Passman, Noetherian down-up algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 127(1999), 2821–2827.

[K-RW] A. Kandri-Rody and V. Weispfenning, Non-commutative Gröbner bases in algebras of solvable type, *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 9(1990), 1–26.

[Laf] G. Laffaille, Quantum binomial algebras, Colloquium on Homology and Representation Theory (Spanish) (Vaquerfas, 1998). Bol. Acad. Nac. Cienc. (Córdoba) 65 (2000), 177–182.

[Le-B] L. Le Bruyn, Conformal sl_2 enveloping algebras, *Comm. Alg.*, 23(1995), 1325–1362.

[Lev] V. Levandovskyy, *Non-commutative Computer Algebra for Polynomial Algebra: Gröbner Bases, Applications and Implementation*, Ph.D. Thesis, TU Kaiserslautern, 2005.

[Li1] H. Li, *Noncommutative Gröbner Bases and Filtered-Graded Transfer*, LNM, 1795, Springer-Verlag, 2002.

[Li2] H. Li, Γ-leading homogeneous algebras and Gröbner bases, in: *Recent Developments in Algebra and Related Areas*, Advanced Lectures in Mathematics, Vol. 8, International Press, Boston, 2009, 155 – 200.

[Man] Yu.I. Manin, *Quantum Groups and Noncommutative Geometry*, Les Publ. du Centre de Récherches Math., Universite de Montreal, 1988.

[Mor] T. Mora, An introduction to commutative and noncommutative Gröbner Bases, *Theoretic Computer Science*, 134(1994), 131–173.